

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023**

Department: TAMIL

Semester/Year: 2022

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

- T1 Dr. S. Thanikam ..... T2 Dr. M. Peril Threse  
 T3 Dr. A. Sujatha Joice ..... T4 Dr. T. Little Mary  
 T5 Dr. S. Suma Maheswari ..... T6 Dr. N. Seema Mole  
 T7 Dr. R. Adlin Jeba ..... T8 Mrs. P. Sriya pushpam  
 T9 ..... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
2	Communication Skill	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
3	Commitment of the teacher	7	8	7	8	7	8	7	8		
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	5	6	5	6	5	6	5	6		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7		
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		
10	Overall rating	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>81</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>83</b>		

Date: 30/5/2023

*Jenaba*  
 Student Signature

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL**


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023**

Department: TAMIL

Semester/Year: 2022

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

- T1 Dr. S. Thanigam      T2 Dr. M. Peril Threse  
 T3 Dr. A. Sujatha Soice      T4 Dr. A. Little Mary  
 T5 Dr. S. Suma Maheswari      T6 Dr. N. Seema Mole  
 T7 Dr. R. Adlin Sehar      T8 Mrs. P. Srinivas Pushpam  
 T9 .....      T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
2	Communication Skill	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	9	9	9	9	9	9	8		
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		
10	Overall rating	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>84</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>86</b>		

Date: 30-05-2023

Renna  
Student Signature.



**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023**

Department: Tamil

Semester/Year: 2022-2023

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Dr. M. Peril Threse      T2 Dr. A. Srijatha Poice  
 T3 Dr. S. Tharkam      T4 Dr. T. Little Mary  
 T5 Dr. S. Soma Maheswari      T6 Dr. N. Seema Male  
 T7 Dr. R. Adlin Teba      T8 Mrs. P. Sriya Pushpam  
 T9 .....      T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
2	Communication Skill	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		
10	Overall rating	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>83</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>83</b>		

Date: 30.5.23

*Benup*  
Student Signature

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023**

Department: TAMIL

Semester/Year: 2022

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

- T1 ..Dr. S. Thankam..... T2 ..Dr. M. Peril Threse  
 T3 ..Dr. A. Sujatha Joice T4 ..Dr. T. Little Mary  
 T5 ..Dr. S. Suma Maheswari T6 ..Dr. N. Seema mole  
 T7 ..Dr. R. Adlin Jeba... T8 ..Mrs. P. Sriya pushpam  
 T9 ..... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
2	Communication Skill	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		
10	Overall rating	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>83</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>83</b>		

Date: 30/5/2023

*Delbina*  
Student Signature



**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL**


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023**

Department: Tamil

Semester/Year: 2022-2023

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Dr. M. Peril Threse T2 Dr. S. Thankam  
 T3 Dr. A. Sujatha Joice T4 Dr. T. Little Mary  
 T5 Dr. S. Sema Mohan T6 Dr. N. Seema Mole  
 T7 Dr. R. Adlin Teba T8 Mrs. P. Sriya Pushyam  
 T9 ..... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
2	Communication Skill	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		
10	Overall rating	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>83</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>83</b>		

Date: 30.5.23

*[Signature]*  
Student Signature

ஆசிரியர் குறித்து மாணவர்களின் பின்னூட்ட அறிக்கை  
நாடுளில் கத்தோலிக்க கலை மற்றும் அறிவியல் கல்லூரி

தமிழ்துறை

2022 - 2023

மாணவர்களுக்கு பாடம் நடத்திய எட்டு ஆசிரியர்கள் குறித்து  
மாணவர்களிடம் ஒன்பது கேள்விகள் முன்வைக்கப்பட்டன.

ஆசிரியர்களின் அறிவு குறித்து கேட்ட கேள்விக்கு அனைத்து  
மாணவர்களும் ஒன்பது மதிப்பெண் வழங்கியுள்ளனர். அது போல்  
ஆசிரியர்களின் பாடத்தயாரிப்பு, பகுப்பாய்வு போன்றவற்றிற்கும் ஒன்பது  
மதிப்பெண் வழங்கியுள்ளனர்.

பாடத்திட்டத்தை குறிப்பிட்ட காலத்திற்குள் நடத்துவதற்கு  
அனைத்து மாணவர்களும் பத்து மதிப்பெண் வழங்கியுள்ளனர்.

ஆசிரியர்கள் பாடத்திட்டத்தோடு பொதுச் செய்திகளை விளக்கி  
பாடமெடுப்பதற்கு ஏழு மதிப்பெண்களையும், பாடமெடுக்கும் போது  
துணைக்கருவிகளைப் பயன்படுத்துவதற்கு சராசரியாக ஆறு  
மதிப்பெண்களையும் வழங்கியுள்ளனர்.



A. [Signature]

PRINCIPAL  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

[Signature]

Head

Department of Tamil  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu



ஆசிரியர்கள் குறித்து மாணவர்களின் பின்னூட்ட

நடவடிக்கை அறிக்கை

நாஞ்சில் கத்தோலிக்க கலை மற்றும் அறிவியல் கல்லூரி

தமிழ்த்துறை

2022 - 2023

பாடத்திட்டத்தில் துணைக் கருவிகளை அதிகமாகப் பயன்படுத்துவதற்கு ஒளிபடக் காட்டியை அதிகமாக பயன்படுத்தவும் பாடம் தொடர்புடைய புதிய செய்திகளைப் பாடத்தோடு தொடர்புபடுத்தி எடுக்கவும் நடவடிக்கை எடுக்கப்பட்டுள்ளது.

பாடத்தயாரிப்பு, பகுப்பாய்விற்கும் விருப்பமுடைய அந்த அந்த பாடங்களில் தேர்ந்த பேராசிரியர்களைப் பயன்படுத்தவும் நடவடிக்கை மேற்கொள்ளப்பட்டுள்ளது.



A. கண்ணன்

PRINCIPAL  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

Head  
Department of Tamil  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*

**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department: *English*

Semester/Year: *III, IV* 2022 - 23

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| T1 ... <i>Mrs. Sujitha Sajan</i> .....   | T2 ... <i>Mrs. J. Blessy</i> .....       |
| T3 ... <i>Mrs. Anisha</i> .....          | T4 ... <i>Dr. Virgin Mary</i> .....      |
| T5 ... <i>Mrs. Reshma Raju</i> .....     | T6 ... <i>Mrs. Sherine Francis</i> ..... |
| T7 ... <i>Mrs. Rosary Viniatha</i> ..... | T8 ... <i>Mrs. Amala Thomas</i> .....    |
| T9 .....                                 | T10 .....                                |

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	9	9	9	10	9	10	9		
2	Communication Skill	9	9	9	8	10	10	10	10		
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10	9	9	9	9		
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	8	9	9	9	8	9	8		
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	10	9	9	9	9	10	9		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	8	8	8	8	9	9	10	10		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	9		
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	10	10	10	9	8	9	9		
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	8	10	10	10	10	9	9	9		
10	Overall rating	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>91</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>91</b>		

Date: *22-3-2023*

*S. Shajin*  
Student Signature



**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE  
KALIYAKKAVILAI**

**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL**


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 20 23**

Department: *English*

Semester/Year: *I/II 2022-23*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

- T1 *Mrs. Anisha P*      T2 *Mrs. Virgin Mary*  
 T3 *Mrs. Subi M.S*      T4 *Mrs. Amala Thomas*  
 T5 *Mrs. Saji tha Sajan*      T6 .....  
 T7 *Mrs. Merlin Mahil*      T8 .....  
 T9 *Mrs. Rosany* .....      T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	8	9	10	9		10		10	
2	Communication Skill	8	9	9	10	9		10		10	
3	Commitment of the teacher	9	10	10	10	10		9		10	
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10	9	10		8		10	
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	9	10	9	9		9		9	
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	9	9	10	8		10		8	
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	9	8	9		9		9	
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	10	8	8	10		9		8	
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	8	9	9	9		10		9	
10	Overall rating	9	9	9	9	9		9		9	
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>93</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>92</b>		<b>93</b>		<b>92</b>	

Date: *22/03/23*

*[Signature]*  
Student Signature

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
KALIYAKKAVILAI

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*

**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023**

2022-2023

Department: English

Semester/Year: III sem, IV Sem.

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

- |                      |                          |
|----------------------|--------------------------|
| T1 Mrs. Amala Thomas | T2 Mrs. Sajitha Sajan    |
| T3 Mrs. Subi Ms      | T4 Mrs. Sherin Francis   |
| T5 Mrs. Blessy       | T6 Mrs. Merlin           |
| T7 Mrs. Anisha       | T8 Mrs. Virgin Mary      |
| T9 Mrs. Reshma Raju  | T10 Mrs. Rosary Vinittha |

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	7	9	8	7	9	8	10	8	9	7
2	Communication Skill	9	7	8	7	8	9	8	7	8	8
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	8	9	8	7	8	7	9	9	9
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	9	10	9	9	7	8	8	8	9
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	7	8	8	10	8	9	7	7	7	8
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	8	7	7	9	7	8	8	8	8	9
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	9	9	8	9	9	9	7	9	8
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	8	10	7	8	7	8	8	7	8
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	8	7	8	9	7	8	7	9	8	7
10	Overall rating	8	8	9	9	8	9	8	9	8	9
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>83</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>82</b>

Date: 22-03-2023

*Vinittha*  
Student Signature



# NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE KALIYAKKAVILAI

## INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: *English*

Semester/Year: *1, 2*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 <i>Dr. Limcy. C</i> .....	T2 <i>Mrs. Amala Thomas</i> .....
T3 <i>P.R. Princelin</i> .....	T4 <i>Mrs. Blessy</i> .....
T5 <i>Mrs. Sajitha Sajam</i> .....	T6 <i>Mrs. Meelin Mahil</i> .....
T7 <i>Dr. Virgin Mary</i> .....	T8 <i>Mrs. Reshma Raju</i> .....
T9 <i>Mrs. Sherin Francis</i> .....	T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	8	8	9	9	9	8	8	8	9	
2	Communication Skill	8	8	9	9	10	8	8	9	9	
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	8	9	8	9	9	9	8	9	
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	7	8	9	7	7	7	7	7	7	
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	8	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	8	9	9	9	9	9	8	9	
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
TOTAL		89	88	94	92	92	92	92	88	91	

Date: *March 22, 2023*

*[Signature]*  
Student Signature

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department: *English*

Semester/Year: *VI, V* 2022 - 2023

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 *Dr. Rooban Raja Sekhar*      T2 *Mrs. Princilin*  
 T3 *Mrs. Subi*      T4 *Mrs. Sajitha Sajjan*  
 T5 *Mrs. Rosary Vinantha*      T6 *Mrs. Limcy*  
 T7 *Mrs. Amela Thomas*      T8 .....  
 T9 *Mrs. Reshma Raju*      T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	8	9	9	9	9	9		9	
2	Communication Skill	10	9	9	9	9	8	9		9	
3	Commitment of the teacher	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		9	
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	9	9	9	9	8	9		9	
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10	10	8	10		10	
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	9	8	8	7	8	10		8	
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	9	9	9	9	9	9		9	
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	10	10	9	10	8	10		9	
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		10	
10	Overall rating	9	9	9	9	10	8	9		9	
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>95</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>94</b>		<b>91</b>	

Date: *22/03/2023*

*Jeflin*  
Student Signature



**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**

**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

**DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH**

**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS: 2022-2023**

In the department of English 12 staff worked in the year 2022-2023. All the students of English department told that the teachers always prepared for the classes. They covered the entire syllabus as prescribed by the university. Teachers managed and motivated the students to study regularly. All were creative in developing activities and lessons. They encouraged the students to speak up and be active in the class. Teachers were good in technical content, communication skills and using of non print teaching aids. Teachers always respected the opinions and decisions of the students. Their words and actions were seemed to be matched. Their voice was audible, consistent and fair in discipline. They helped the students when they asked for help. The students trusted the teachers.

By collecting the student's feedback on teacher's majority of the teachers secured above 90%. The students were satisfied with the teaching strategies of all Professors.



**PRINCIPAL**  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

**Head**  
Department of English  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**

**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

**DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH**

**ACTION TAKEN REPORT**

In the Academic year 2022-2023, majority of the staffs secured good scores for the questions from 1-10. The teachers were also asked to explain what is expected on assignments and test. The students expected the teachers to encourage them to refer books and articles, motivate them to study regularly and to improve their communication skills. The teachers were instructed to use more modern teaching aids, handouts, PPT and good rapport between them and students. The teachers accepted to improve themselves.



*A. [Signature]*

**PRINCIPAL**

**Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153**

*[Signature]*  
**Head**

**Department of English  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu**



**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE  
KALIYAKKAVILAI**

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 20 23**

Department: *Mathematics*

Semester/Year: *II / I*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 *Mr. Adlin Jeba* ..... T2 *Dr. Virgin Mary* .....  
 T3 *Dr. A. Ajitha* ..... T4 *Dr. Murugavel* .....  
 T5 *Mrs. G.M. Dhanya* ..... T6 .....  
 T7 ..... T8 .....  
 T9 ..... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10	10					
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	9	9					
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	10	10	10					
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	10	10	9	10					
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10	10	10					
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10					
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10	10					
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>99</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>99</b>					

Date: *16/03/2023*

*Mandhana*  
Student Signature

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE  
KALIYAKKAVILAI**

**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL**


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department: *Mathematics*

Semester/Year: *VI / III*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 ... *Dr. A. Ajitha* ..... T2 ... *Mrs. S. Jerlin Mary* .....

T3 ... *Dr. S. Kavitha* ..... T4 ... *Dr. Bertilla Jaushal* .....

T5 ... *Mrs. G. M. Dhanya* ..... T6 ... *Mrs. I. Mary Bexy* .....

T7 ..... T8 .....

T9 ..... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	9	8	9	9	8				
2	Communication Skill	8	9	8	9	8	9				
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	9	10	8	10	10				
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	9	10	8	9	10				
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	8	9	10	8	9				
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	8	10	9	8	10	9				
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	8	10	9	8	10				
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	9	8	10	9	8				
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	9	10	9	10	9				
10	Overall rating	9	10	9	10	9	10				
<b>TOTAL</b>		91	90	91	90	90	92				

Date: *16/03/2023*

*Anisha*  
Student Signature



**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department: *Mathematics*

Semester/Year: *6 / III<sup>rd</sup>*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

- |                                  |                                      |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| T1 <i>Dr. A. Ajitha</i> .....    | T2 <i>Mrs. S. Jexlin Mary</i> .....  |
| T3 <i>Dr. S. Kavitha</i> .....   | T4 <i>Dr. Bertilla Jaushal</i> ..... |
| T5 <i>Mrs. G.M. Dhanya</i> ..... | T6 <i>Mrs. I. Mary Bexy</i> .....    |
| T7 .....                         | T8 .....                             |
| T9 .....                         | T10 .....                            |

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	8	9	10	10	9	7				
2	Communication Skill	9	7	8	7	10	10				
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	8	8	8	7	8				
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	9	10	10	8	9				
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	9	9	9	8	9				
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	7	10	10	9	6	9				
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	9	8	8	9	8				
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	8	9	10	9	9				
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	10	10	9	10	9				
10	Overall rating	9	9	8	9	9	8				
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>86</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>86</b>				

Date: *16/03/2023*

*Abir*  
Student Signature

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department: *Mathematics*

Semester/Year: *IV / 2<sup>nd</sup>*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 *Dr. Ajitha* ..... T2 *Mrs. Abila* .....

T3 *Mrs. Mary Bexy* ..... T4 *Mrs. Jerlin Mary* .....

T5 ..... T6 .....

T7 ..... T8 .....

T9 ..... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	10	10	9						
2	Communication Skill	10	9	10	10						
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	9	9	9						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	10	9	9						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	9						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	9	10	10						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	9	9	10						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	9	10						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	9	10						
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10						
<b>TOTAL</b>		97	95	95	96						

Date: *16/3/2023*

*Rishank*  
Student Signature



**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 20<sup>22</sup> - 20<sup>23</sup>**

Department: *Mathematics*

Semester/Year: *IV / 2<sup>nd</sup>*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 ..... *Dr. Ajitha* ..... T2 ..... *Mrs. Abila* .....  
 T3 ..... *Mrs. Mary Bexy* ..... T4 ..... *Mrs. Terlin Mary* .....  
 T5 ..... T6 .....  
 T7 ..... T8 .....  
 T9 ..... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
2	Communication Skill	9	10	9	9						
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	9	10	10						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	10	9	10						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	9	10	9						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	9	10						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10						
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10						
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>97</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>98</b>						

Date: *16 / 03 / 2023*

*Rishu*  
Student Signature

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE  
KALIAKKAVILAI**

**DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023**

**FEED BACK REPORT OF STUDENTS ABOUT TEACHERS**

85% of our students felt that the Knowledge base of 90% teachers is so good and Communication skill of our teachers is accepted by 91% of students. According to department of Mathematics, the commitment of the teachers is reach 100%. Also, 82% of our staff generates interest in subjects for all the students. All students accepted that all the subject teachers well prepared by teachers teach the topics with teaching aids and power point presentation. All the teachers submit the internal assessments on time and all the teachers completed the portions on time and therefore they can revise the syllabus before the university examination. Thus students conclude that the teachers in department of Mathematics is over all satisfied.




*A. [Signature]*  
PRINCIPAL  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

*[Signature]*  
Head  
Department of Mathematics  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu.

**Nanjil Catholic College of Arts and Science**  
**Kaliyakkavilai**  
**Department of Mathematics**  
**Students Feedback Chart on Teachers (2022-2023)**

Name of the Teachers	Dr.A.Ajitha	Mrs.S.Jerlin Mary	Mrs.R. Abila	Mrs.I.Mary Bexy	Dr.S. Kavitha	Dr.A.J.Bertilla Jaushal	Mrs.G.M.Dhanya
Overall rating	92	86	85	85	80	85	82

Teachers Feedback



*A. [Signature]*  
**PRINCIPAL**  
 Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
 Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

*[Signature]*  
**Head**  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
 Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu.



**Nanjil Catholic College of arts and Science  
Kaliakkavilai**

**Department of Mathematics**

**Students Feed Back on Teachers (2022-2023)**

**Action Taken Report**

In the academic year 2022-2023, when comparing the overall values from 1<sup>st</sup> to 10<sup>th</sup> question, all got almost high value. Staff those who got low values were instructed to improve good report from teachers and the students. The teacher were also asked to explain what is expected on assignment and internal examination. The students expected the teachers to encourage them to refer text books and articles, motivate them to study regularly and to improve their communication skills. The teacher were instructed to use more PPT's and Teaching Aids. The teachers accepted to improve themselves.



*A. [Signature]*  
PRINCIPAL  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

*[Signature]*  
Head  
Department of Mathematics  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu.

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023**

Department: *Physics*

Semester/Year: *2022 - 2023*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

- T1 *Dr. M. Amalanatham*      T2 *Dr. Marshall Robert*  
 T3 *Dr. S. Antony Dominic Christy*      T4 *Dr. S.S. Bridley*  
 T5 *Dr. T.R. Jeena*      T6 *Mrs. V. Beena*  
 T7 *Dr. S. Marudavel*      T8 *Mr. Fahayy Raj*  
 T9 .....      T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		✓
2	Communication Skill	9	8	9	8	9	10	9	✓		
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	8	9	8	9	8	9	9		
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	9	9	10	9	9	10	9		
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	8	8	9	8	8	9	9		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	10	9	8	9	10	9	8		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	10	9	8	9	10	9	8		
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	8	9	10	8	9	10	9		
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	8	9	8	10	9	8	9	10		
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>91</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>90</b>		

Date: *30/7/2022*

*[Signature]*  
Student Signature

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL**


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023**

Department: *Physics*

Semester/Year: *2022 - 2023*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

- T1 *Dr. M. Amalanathan*      T2 *Dr. Marshan Robert*  
 T3 *Dr. S. Antony Dominic*      T4 *Dr. S.S. Bridhu*  
 T5 *Dr. T.R. Jeena*      T6 *Mr. V. Beena*  
 T7 *Dr. S. Murgavai*      T8 *Mr. F. Bahaya Raj*  
 T9 .....      T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	8	10	10	10	10	8	10	10	8	
2	Communication Skill	9	8	9	9	10	9	10	10		
3	Commitment of the teacher	9	9	9	9	8	9	8	8		
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	10	9	9	8	9	9	9		
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	8	8	10	9	9	8	8	9		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	8	8	10	8	9	8	10	10		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	8	10	8	8	8	10	9		
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	10	9	8	8	9	8	10		
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	10	10	8	8	9	8	9		
10	Overall rating	7	10	10	10	10	9	10	9		
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>84</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>93</b>		

Date: *30/07/2022*

*[Signature]*  
Student Signature



**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023**

Department: *Physics*

Semester/Year: *2022 -2023*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 *Dr. M. Amalanathan* T2 *Dr. Marshan Robert*  
 T3 *Dr. S. Antony Dominic* T4 *Dr. S.S. Bidhu*  
*Christofer*  
 T5 *Dr. T.R. Jeena* T6 *Mr. V. Beena*  
 T7 *Dr. S. Murugavel* T8 *Mr. F. Abhayaraj*  
 T9 ..... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	8	10	6	7	6	9	10		
2	Communication Skill	8	7	10	7	7	5	8	10		
3	Commitment of the teacher	9	10	10	6	6	7	9	10		
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10	7	6	5	8	10		
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	7	8	6	9	10		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	8	8	10	7	7	7	8	10		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	8	10	6	6	6	9	10		
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	10	6	7	7	8	10		
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	8	10	7	7	6	9	10		
10	Overall rating	9	9	10	7	7	7	8	10		
<b>TOTAL</b>		90	87	100	66	61	62	85	100		

Date: *30/07/2022*

*[Signature]*  
Signature

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*

**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023**

Department: *Physics*

Semester/Year: *2022 - 2023*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 *Dr. M. Amalanathan* T2 *Dr. Marshan Robert*  
 T3 *Dr. S. Antony Dominic christopher* T4 *Dr. S.S. Bidhu*  
 T5 *Dr. T.R. Jeena* T6 *Mrs. V. Beena*  
 T7 *Dr. S. Murugavel* T8 *Mr. J. Sahaya Raj*  
 T9 ..... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	8	8	8	9	10	10	10	10		
2	Communication Skill	9	9	10	8	9	8	8	10		
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	10	10	8	8	10	9	10		
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	8	10	8	7	8	9	10		
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	8	9	10	8	9	9	10		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	9	9	10	7	8	8	10		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	9	9	8	7	8	8	10		
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	8	9	8	9	9	7	7	10		
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	7	9	10	9	8	6	7	10		
10	Overall rating	7	9	10	9	10	7	9	10		
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>91</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>100</b>		

Date: *30/7/2022*

*[Signature]*  
Student Signature







**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE  
KALIYAKKAVILAI**


**DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS**

**FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM BY TEACHERS (2022-2023)**

The feedback was collected from the students on the following parameters.

- 90% of the teachers strongly agree with the learning objectives are clear for each course.
- 95% of the students strongly agree with the syllabus is well updated and suitable to course.
- 98% of the teachers told that the prescribed textbooks and reference books are available.
- 82% of the teachers agree with the syllabus meets the requirements of industries.
- 95% of the teachers said that the contact hours allotted for each unit is sufficient.
- 98% of the teachers said that the syllabus has enough practical/project components.
- 98% of the teachers said that the syllabus has been completed on time.

**FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM BY TEACHERS**



- teachers strongly agree with the learning objectives are clear for each course
- strongly agree with the syllabus is well updated and suitable to course
- teachers say that the prescribed text books and reference books are available.
- teachers agree with the syllabus meets the requirements of industries
- teachers say that the contact hours allotted for each unit is sufficient
- teachers say that the syllabus has sufficient number of practical/project components.
- teachers say that the syllabus has to complete on time

**Head**

Department of Physics,

Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science

Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153 Tamil Nadu

**PRINCIPAL**


Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science

Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE  
KALIYAKKAVILAI  
DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS  
TEACHERS FEEDBACK (2022-2023)**

**ACTION TAKEN REPORT**

In the academic year 2022-2023, we collected feedback about syllabus from the teachers. They reviewed the syllabus and gave some suggestions that the syllabus should meet the requirements of the industries and sufficient reference books should be purchased for the syllabus. Based on their feedback the learning objectives should be updated. Based on their suggestions, a report was sent to the Board of Studies. Department of Physics. M.S.University, Tirunelveli.



Head

Department of Physics,  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153 Tamil Nadu



  
PRINCIPAL  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153.

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department: Chemistry

Semester/Year: Even / 2022-23

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Dr. R. Murali ..... T2 Dr. T. Alwin .....  
 T3 Dr. C. Agatha Christie ..... T4 Ms. L. Lissy .....  
 T5 Ms. M. Shirley Navis ..... T6 Dr. K. Priya .....  
 T7 Dr. M. Mary Sheeba ..... T8 .....  
 T9 ..... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	8	7	9	9	7	9			
2	Communication Skill	7	8	7	9	9	7	9			
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	7	8	8	9	7	9			
4	Interest generated by the teacher	7	7	6	6	7	7	8			
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	6	7	8	9	9	9			
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	8	8	6	6	8	8	9			
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	7	8	6	6	8	8	9			
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	8	7	7	8	9	8	9			
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	5	8	8	9	8	9			
10	Overall rating	8	7	7	8	9	8	9			
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>80</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>89</b>			

Date: 9.03.2023

  
 Student Signature



# NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

## KALIYAKKAVILAI

### INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department: CHEMISTRY

Semester/Year: 2<sup>nd</sup> yr

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Dr. Agatha ..... T2 Mrs. Shorly .....

T3 Mrs. Lissy ..... T4 Dr. Priya .....

T5 Dr. Murali ..... T6 Dr. Alwin .....

T7 Dr. Mary Sheeba ..... T8 .....  
 T9 ..... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	8	8	8	7	9	7	8			
2	Communication Skill	8	8	8	7	8	7	8			
3	Commitment of the teacher	9	8	8	7	10	6	9			
4	Interest generated by the teacher	7	7	8	5	10	5	9			
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	8	8	6	10	5	9			
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	7	10	8	6	10	8	9			
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	9	9	8	10	8	8			
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	8	9	6	10	7	8			
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	9	8	10	8	9			
10	Overall rating	8	8.5	8.5	6	10	7	8.5			
<b>TOTAL</b>		8	8.5	8.5	6	10	7	8.5			

Date: 9-03-2023

Student Signature

# NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

## KALIYAKKAVILAI

### INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department: Chemistry

Semester/Year: Even

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 <u>Dr. Agatha</u>	T2 <u>Mrs. Sherly</u>
T3 <u>Mrs. Lissy</u>	T4 <u>Dr. Priya</u>
T5 <u>Dr. Murali</u>	T6 <u>Dr. Alwin</u>
T7 <u>Dr. Mary Sheeba</u>	T8 .....
T9 .....	T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	8	8	8	7	9	7	8			
2	Communication Skill	8	8	8	7	8	7	8			
3	Commitment of the teacher	9	8	8	7	10	6	9			
4	Interest generated by the teacher	7	7	8	5	10	5	9			
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	8	8	6	10	5	9			
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	7	10	8	6	10	8	9			
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	9	9	8	10	8	8			
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	8	9	6	10	7	8			
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	9	8	10	8	9			
10	Overall rating	8	8.5	8.5	6	10	7	8.5			
TOTAL		8	8.5	8.5	6	10	7	8.5			

Date: 09-03-23

Student Signature



**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE  
KALIYAKKAVILAI  
DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY**

**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS : 2022-2023**

In the department of chemistry 7 staff worked in the year 2022-2023. All the students of chemistry department told that the teachers always prepared for the classes. They covered the entire syllabus as prescribed by the university. Teachers were managed and motivated the students to study regularly. All were creative in developing activities and lessons. They encouraged the students to speak up and be active in the class. Teachers were good in technical content, communication skills and using of non print teaching aids. Teachers are always respected the opinions and decisions of the students. Their words and actions were seemed to be matched. Their voice was audible and consistent and fair in discipline. They helped the students when they ask for help. The students trusted the teachers. From the report the overall values of 10 questions, the value is less for Dr.Priya Rajini. This was informed to Dr.Priya Rajini, and suggestions also given. She accepted.

From the report of UG chemistry students feedback on teachers overall values are shown in the graph. When comparing the overall values of 1 question, Dr.Alwin Mrs.Shirly, and Dr.Mary Sheeba got high value and Dr.Agatha got low value. For the second question Dr.Murali, Dr.Agatha, and Mrs.Lisy got high value and Dr.Priya got low value. For the third question Dr.Mary Sheeba got high value and Dr.Agatha got low value. For the fourth question Dr.Alwin and Dr.Mary Sheeba got high value and Dr.Murali got low value. For the fifth question Mrs.Shirly got high value and Dr.Murali and Mrs.Lisy got low value. For the sixth question Mrs.Shirly got high value and Mrs.Lisy got low value. For the seventh question Dr.Mary Sheeba got high value and Mrs.Lisy got low value. For the eighth question Mrs.Shirly and Dr.Alwin got high value and Dr.Agatha got low value. For the ninth question Mrs.Lisy got high value and Dr.Priya got low value. For the tenth question Dr.Mary Sheeba , and Mrs.Shirly got high value and Dr. Murali got low value. Staff those who got low values are instructed to improve them self. They all accepted.



  
PRINCIPAL  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

  
Head

Department of Chemistry  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu.



**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE  
KALIYAKKAVILAI  
DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY**

**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS: 2022 -2023**

**ACTION TAKEN REPORT**

In the academic year 2022 -2023, when comparing the overall values from 1<sup>st</sup> question to 10<sup>th</sup> question, all got almost high value. Staff those who got low values were instructed to improve good rapport between them and the students. The teachers were also asked to explain what is expected on assignments and test. The students expected the teachers to encourage them to refer books and articles, motivate them to study regularly and to improve their communication skills. The teachers were instructed to use more modern teaching aids, handouts, PPT and good rapport between them and students . The teachers accepted to improve themselves.



  
Head  
Department of Chemistry  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu.

  
PRINCIPAL  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE  
KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: *computer science*

Semester/Year: *2 / IB.Sc*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 *Dr. Sujia Mary .D* ..... T2 *Dr. Seema Mole .N* .....  
 T3 *Mrs. Abila .T* ..... T4 *Dr. Thomas Robinson .L* .....  
 T5 *Dr. Marshan Robert .H* ..... T6 *Mrs. Christabel Nerlin Nabil .R* .....  
 T7 ..... T8 .....  
 T9 ..... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10				✓
2	Communication Skill	10	10	9	10	9	9			✓	
3	Commitment of the teacher	9	9	9	10	9	9			✓	
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10				✓
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	9	10	10	10	10				✓
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	9	10	9	10			✓	
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10	10	10	10				✓
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10	10	10	10				✓
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10	10				✓
10	Overall rating	9	10	10	10	10	10			✓	
<b>TOTAL</b>		98	98	91	100	91	98				

Date: *3/3/2023*

*[Signature]*  
Student Signature



**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
KALIYAKKAVILAI

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023**

Department: *Computer science*

Semester/Year: *I / IB-SC*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 *Dr. Suja Mary* ..... T2 *Dr. Seema Mole* .....  
 T3 *Mrs Akila* ..... T4 *Dr. Thomas Robinson* .....  
 T5 *Dr. Marsha Robert* ..... T6 *Mr. cristobal merlin mahile* .....  
 T7 ..... T8 .....  
 T9 ..... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10				
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10	10	10				
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10	9	10				
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	10	10	10	10	10				
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	9	10	9	9	10				
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	9	10	10	10	10				
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	10	10	9	10	10				
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	9	9	9	10	9				
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	9	10	9				
10	Overall rating	9	10	10	10	9	10				
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>97</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>98</b>				

Date: *3/3/2023*

*Abhirith*  
Student Signature



**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department: Computer Science

Semester/Year: 3/III B.Sc

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

- T1 Dr. Adlin Jeba ..... T2 Dr. Shevini .....
- T3 Mrs. Bueedah Benslet ..... T4 Mrs. Ahila T .....
- T5 Mrs. Suja Mexlin ..... T6 Dr. Thomas Robinson .....
- T7 ..... T8 .....
- T9 ..... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	9	10	8	8	10				
2	Communication Skill	9	10	9	8	8	9				
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	9	9	10				
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	9	10	9	10	10				
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	9	10	8	9	10				
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	8	10	10	8	8	10				
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	9	10	9	9	9				
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	8	9	9	10	10				
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	8	10	8	8	10				
10	Overall rating	10	9	9	8	8	9				
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>93</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>97</b>				

Date: 3/3/2023

*[Signature]*  
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE  
KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023

Department: Computer Science

Semester/Year: 3/II B.Sc

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10-point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Dr. Adlin Jeba ..... T2 Dr. Sherine .....  
T3 Mrs. Buelah Benslet ..... T4 Mrs. Ahila J .....  
T5 Mrs. Suja Merlin ..... T6 Dr. Thomas Robinson .....  
T7 ..... T8 .....  
T9 ..... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	9	10	8	8	10				
2	Communication Skill	9	10	9	8	8	9				
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	9	9	10				
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	9	10	9	10	10				
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	9	10	8	9	10				
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	8	10	10	8	8	10				
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	9	10	9	9	9				
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	8	9	9	10	10				
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	8	10	8	8	10				
10	Overall rating	10	9	9	8	8	9				
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>93</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>97</b>				

Date: 3/3/2023

*Shajha*  
Student Signature



NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE  
KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023

Department: Computer Science

Semester/Year: III year

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10-point scale shown

ODD semester



Name of the Teachers:

T1 P. Thomas Robinson T2 Mr. Abhilash  
T3 D.incy T4 Mrs. Marya Merlin  
T5 Mrs. Marya T6 .....  
T7 ..... T8 .....  
T9 ..... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10	10					
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10	10					
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	10	10	10					
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10	10	10					
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10	10	10					
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10					
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10	10					
<b>TOTAL</b>		100	100	100	100	100					

Date: 3/3/2023

Stephij  
Student Signature



NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE  
KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: *Computer science*

Semester/Year: *✓ I M.Sc*  
*ODD semester*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

*Mrs. Sujia Merlin* ..... T2 .....  
*Dr. Cincy* ..... T4 .....  
*Mr. Abhilash Sam Paulstin* ..... T6 .....  
*Mrs. Akila* ..... T8 .....  
*Dr. Sujia Mary* ..... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	8		9		9		9		9	
2	Communication Skill	9		9		9		10		9	
3	Commitment of the teacher	10		10		9		10		9	
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8		8		8		9		10	
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9		10		9		10		9	
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9		10		10		10		10	
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9		9		9		10		9	
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10		10		10		10		10	
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10		10		10		10		10	
10	Overall rating	8		10		9		10		8	
<b>TOTAL</b>		90		95		92		98		92	

Date: *3/3/2023*

*[Signature]*  
Student Signature

# NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE, KALIYAKKAVILAI


## Department of Computer Science

### Students Feedback on Teachers Report 2022 - 2023 (ODD Semester)


#### INTRODUCTION:

Feedback is a key element of the incremental process of ongoing learning and assessment. Providing frequent and ongoing feedback is a significant means of improving achievement in learning. It involves the provision of information about aspects of understanding and performance and can be given by practitioners, peers, oneself and from learners to practitioners. Effective feedback assists the learner to reflect on their learning and their learning strategies so they can make adjustments to make better progress in their learning. It is essential to know the strengths of teachers and those aspects of their practice which could be further developed.

From this perspective, the institution of teacher evaluation is a vital step in the drive to improve the effectiveness of teaching and learning and raise educational standards. Meaningful teacher evaluation involves an accurate appraisal of the effectiveness of teaching, its strengths and areas for development, followed by feedback, coaching, support and opportunities for professional development. It is also essential to celebrate, recognize and reward the work of teachers.




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	9
Communication skill	9
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	9
Time management	9
Overall rating	9



ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	8
Communication skill	9
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	8
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	10
Time management	9
Overall rating	9




## DR. L. THOMAS ROBINSON




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	9
Time management	10
Overall rating	9

## DR. W.C. Cincy




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	10
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	10
Time management	10
Overall rating	9




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	10
Time management	10
Overall rating	9

## MRS. S.S. BEULAH BENSLET




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	9
Commitment of the teacher	10
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	9
Time management	10
Overall rating	9

## MRS.T. AHILA






ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	9
Communication skill	9
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	9
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	10
Time management	8
Overall rating	9




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	10
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	9
Time management	10
Overall rating	10

## MRS.R. CHRISTABEL MERLIN MAHIL




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	9
Time management	10
Overall rating	10

## DR. ADLIN JEBA



ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	9
Communication skill	9
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	8
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	10
Time management	9
Overall rating	10



ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	9
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	8
Time management	8
Overall rating	9





**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
KALIYAKKAVILAI

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*

**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**


**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023**

Department: Computer Science

Semester/Year: 2nd / 2022-23

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown

*1st year*



Name of the Teachers:

- T1 Ms. Shreema mal ..... T2 Ms. Sujia Mary .....
- T3 Ms. Anistabell merlin mal ..... T4 Dr. Thomas Robinson .....
- T5 Mr. Abhilash Sam paul ..... T6 .....
- T7 ..... T8 .....
- T9 ..... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	9	10	9	8					
2	Communication Skill	9	9	9	9	9					
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	8	9	8	8					
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	9	8	9	8					
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	8	9	9	9	9					
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	5	10	10	8	7					
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	8	9	9	8					
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	8	9	8	9	9					
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	9	9	8	9					
10	Overall rating	8	9	10	8	9					
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>89</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>84</b>					

Date: 3/5/2023

*[Signature]*  
Student Signature



**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023

Department: Computer Science

Semester/Year: V Sem IV year

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10-point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

- T1 Dr. Cincy W.C.      T2 Mrs. Ahila  
 T3 Mrs. Sujamexlin      T4 Mrs. Beulah Benslet  
 T5 Dr. Thomas Robinson  
 T7 .....      T8 .....  
 T9 .....      T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	60	60	60	60	60					
2	Communication Skill	60	60	60	10	10					
3	Commitment of the teacher	60	10	60	10	10					
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10	10					
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	10	10	10					
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10	10	10					
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10	10	10					
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10					
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10	10					
<b>TOTAL</b>		100	100	100	100	100					

Date: 3/5/2023

  
Student Signature



**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
KALIYAKKAVILAI

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023**

Department: computer science

Semester/Year: I sem I year

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Dr. L. Thomas Robinson T2 Dr. W. C. Cincy  
 T3 Mrs. Beula Benslet S.S T4 Mrs. Sujal Marlin V  
 T5 Mrs. Abila T6 .....  
 T7 ..... T8 .....  
 T9 ..... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10	10					
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10	10					
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	10	10	10					
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10	10	10					
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10	10	10					
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10					
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10	10					
<b>TOTAL</b>		100	100	100	100	100					

Date: 3/5/2023

*Student Signature*

# NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: Computer Science

Semester/Year: VI - III Year

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

- |                                  |                                |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| T1 <u>Dr. L. Thomas Robinson</u> | T2 <u>Dr. M. C. Linay</u>      |
| T3 <u>Mrs. Beula Benslet</u>     | T4 <u>Mrs. Sujas Merlin V.</u> |
| T5 <u>Mrs. Abila</u>             | T6 .....                       |
| T7 .....                         | T8 .....                       |
| T9 .....                         | T10 .....                      |

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10	10					
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10	10					
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	10	10	10					
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10	10	10					
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10	10	10					
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10					
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10	10					
<b>TOTAL</b>		100	100	100	100	100					

Date: 3/5/2023

Student Signature







# NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE, KALIYAKKAVILAI


## Department of Computer Science

### Students Feedback on Teachers Report 2022 – 2023 (EVEN Semester)


#### INTRODUCTION:

Feedback on teachers is an important component of advancing the teaching practice. Getting feedback from the students during the term can be a good way to find out how things are going, to give a students a chance to express their feelings in a medium other than the end-of-course student evaluations, and to allow any changes in teaching to be made while students can still benefit. It involves the provision of information about aspects of understanding and performance and can be given by practitioners, peers, oneself and from learners to practitioners. Effective feedback assists the learner to reflect on their learning and their learning strategies so they can make adjustments to make better progress in their learning. It is essential to know the strengths of teachers and those aspects of their practice which could be further developed.

From this perspective, the institution of teacher evaluation is a vital step in the drive to improve the effectiveness of teaching and learning and raise educational standards. Meaningful teacher evaluation involves an accurate appraisal of the effectiveness of teaching, its strengths and areas for development, followed by feedback, coaching, support and opportunities for professional development. It is also essential to celebrate, recognize and reward the work of teachers.




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	9
Communication skill	9
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	9
Time management	9
Overall rating	9




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	8
Communication skill	9
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	8
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	10
Time management	9
Overall rating	9

## DR. L. THOMAS ROBINSON




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	9
Time management	10
Overall rating	9

## DR. W.C. Cincy






ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	10
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	10
Time management	10
Overall rating	9




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	10
Time management	10
Overall rating	9

## MRS. S.S. BEULAH BENSLET




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	9
Commitment of the teacher	10
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	9
Time management	10
Overall rating	9

## MRS.T. AHILA




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	9
Communication skill	9
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	9
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	10
Time management	8
Overall rating	9



ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	10
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	9
Time management	10
Overall rating	10




## MRS.R. CHRISTABEL MERLIN MAHIL




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	9
Time management	10
Overall rating	10

## DR. ADLIN JEBA



ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	9
Communication skill	9
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	8
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	10
Time management	9
Overall rating	10



ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	9
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	8
Time management	8
Overall rating	9

**Conclusion:**

With the appropriate framework for support and feedback, teachers will be able to make continual improvements in their practice and continue to ensure that every student has access to a great education. The staff those who need improvement are requested to deliver the course efficiency after well preparation.



A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "A. S. S. S. S."

Head of the Department  
Head, Department of Computer Science  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Nedumcode, Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

A handwritten signature in green ink, appearing to be "A. S. S. S. S."

PRINCIPAL  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153




**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE,  
KALIYAKKAVILAI**


**Academic Year 2022-2023**

**Student Feedback on Teachers**

**Action Taken Report**

The students are given the feedback on subject teachers for regular conducting of classes. Based on the student's feedback the teacher were advised to complete their syllabi well in time. They were also directed to organise student centric activities and help students in preparation of their exams through presentation, class tests and assignments. Teachers were motivated to attend orientation/refresher and short term courses to enhance their capabilities.



  
Head, Department of Computer Science  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Nedumcode, Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

  
PRINCIPAL  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

# NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE KALIYAKKAVILAI

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

**ACADEMIC YEAR 20~~22~~ 2023**

Department: *Zoology*

Semester/Year:

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 *Sini Margret* ..... T2 *Ajitha Mol.*.....  
 T3 *Amutha Rani*..... T4 *Theresida Mary*.....  
 T5 ..... T6 .....  
 T7 ..... T8 .....  
 T9 ..... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10						
3	Commitment of the teacher	9	9	9	9						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	9	9	9						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	9	9	9						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	8	8	8						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	9	9						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10						
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10						
TOTAL		94	94	94	94						

Date: *15.3.2023*

*Alan*  
Student Signature

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023**

Department: *Zoology*

Semester/Year:

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 *Ajitha Mol* ..... T2 *Siri Margret* .....  
 T3 *Theresita Mary* ..... T4 *Amurtha Rani* .....  
 T5 ..... T6 .....  
 T7 ..... T8 .....  
 T9 ..... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10						
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	9	9	9						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	9	9	9						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	8	8	8						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	9	9						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	9	9	9						
10	Overall rating										
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>94</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>94</b>						

Date: *15.03.2023*

*Vaishnavi*  
Student Signature



**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department:

Semester/Year: IV

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 ... *Theresita Mary* ..... T2 ... *Ajitha Mol* .....  
 T3 ... *Sini Margaret* ..... T4 ... *Amutha Pani* .....  
 T5 ..... T6 .....  
 T7 ..... T8 .....  
 T9 ..... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10						
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	8	8	8						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	9	9	9						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	9	9	9						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	8	8	8						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	8	8	8	8						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10						
10	Overall rating										
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>92</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>92</b>						

Date: 15.3.23

*Kirao*  
Student Signature

# NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE KALIYAKKAVILAI

## INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department: \_\_\_\_\_

Semester/Year: IV

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 ..... <i>Amutha Rani</i> .....	T2 ..... <i>Sini Margaret</i> .....
T3 ..... <i>Theresita May</i> .....	T4 ..... <i>Ajitha Mol</i> .....
T5 .....	T6 .....
T7 .....	T8 .....
T9 .....	T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10						
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	8	8	8						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	8	8	8						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	9	9	9						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	8	8	8						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10	10						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10						
10	Overall rating										
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>93</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>93</b>						

Date: 15.03.23

*Ahish*  
Student Signature

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE  
KALIYAKKAVILAI**

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department: *Zoology*

Semester/Year: *III/V*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 ..... *Amutha Rani* ..... T2 ..... *Sini Margaret* .....  
 T3 ..... *Theresita May* ..... T4 ..... *Ajitha mol* .....  
 T5 ..... ..... T6 ..... .....  
 T7 ..... ..... T8 ..... .....  
 T9 ..... ..... T10 ..... .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10						
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	8	8	8						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	8	8	8						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	7	7	7	7						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	8	8	8						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10	10						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10						
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10						
<b>TOTAL</b>		<i>94</i>	<i>94</i>	<i>94</i>	<i>94</i>						

Date: *15.03.23*

*[Signature]*  
Student Signature



**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**  
**DEPARTMENT OF ZOOLOGY**  
**2022-2023**  
**STUDENTS FEEDBACK REPORT**

Students evaluate the teacher based on certain criteria like communication skill, commitment of the teacher, usage of modern teaching aids etc . They were satisfied with accessibility of the teacher in and outside class. The interest generated by the teachers in the subject captured the attention span of the students and encouraged those to be more involved in the learning process was indicated by an overwhelming of student community in the feedback.

*A. [Signature]*  
PRINCIPAL  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153



*[Signature]*  
Department of Zoology  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**

**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

**DEPARTMENT OF ZOOLOGY**

**2022-2023**

**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ACTION TAKEN REPORT**

- Cultural events and curricular events were planned to enrich the subject knowledge and other capabilities of the students.
- Timely dissemination of information regarding internal assessment and evaluation was ensured.
- Faculty took efforts to improve the students who were falling behind.
- Communication skills and soft skill trainings were given.
- Career trainings were given to the students for pursuing higher education.
- ICT classes were used for effective teaching. Shift 2 program were provided in the campus.

*ESmk*

**Head**

**Department of Zoology  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu**

*A. [Signature]*

**PRINCIPAL**

**Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153**



**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department: *Physical Education*

Semester/Year: *Even 1<sup>st</sup> yr.*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

- |                                     |  |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| T1 <i>Mr. U. Vijay</i> .....        | T2 <i>Mr. Y. R. Dhesha Bendu</i> ..... |
| T3 <i>Dr. K. Kishore Jani</i> ..... | T4 <i>Mr. S. J. Saathya</i> .....      |
| T5 .....                            | T6 .....                               |
| T7 .....                            | T8 .....                               |
| T9 .....                            | T10 .....                              |

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
2	Communication Skill	10	9	9	9						
3	Commitment of the teacher	9	10	9	10						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	10	10	10						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	9	9						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	9	9	10						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	9	9	10						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	10	10	10						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	10	9	10						
10	Overall rating	10	10	9	10						
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>96</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>98</b>						

Date: *9/09/2022*

*Alana DR.*  
Student Signature



**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE  
KALIYAKKAVILAI**

**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL**


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department: *Physical Education*

Semester/Year: *Even Yr.*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

- T1 *Mr. V. Vijay* ..... T2 *Ms. Y. R. Poesha Boudhu* .....
- T3 *Dr. K. Kishore Jani* ..... T4 *Mrs. S. S. Sanitha* .....
- T5 ..... T6 .....
- T7 ..... T8 .....
- T9 ..... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10						
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	9	9	9						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	9	10	10						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	10	9	9						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	9	10						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	9	10	9						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	9	9						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	9	9	10						
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10						
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>97</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>94</b>						

Date: *9/09/2022*

*Bhagath*  
Student Signature

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE  
KALIYAKKAVILAI**

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department: *Physical Education*

Semester/Year: *Even EUP*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

- |                                       |   |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| T1 <i>Mr. V. Vijay</i> .....          | T2 <i>Mr. Y. R. Dhesha Bendhu</i> ..... |
| T3 <i>Dr. K. Kishore / Tapi</i> ..... | T4 <i>Mrs. S. S. Saritha</i> .....      |
| T5 .....                              | T6 .....                                |
| T7 .....                              | T8 .....                                |
| T9 .....                              | T10 .....                               |

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	9	9						
2	Communication Skill	9	9	10	9						
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	9	10	10						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	9	9						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	9	9	9						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	10	9	10						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	9	10						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	10	10	10						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	9	9						
10	Overall rating	10	9	9	9						
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>96</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>94</b>						

Date: *9/09/2022*

*Ajin.Cv*  
Student Signature

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department: Physical Education

Semester/Year: Even 2022-23

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

- T1 M. V. Ujju ..... T2 M. R. Dhesha Bendu.....  
 T3 M. K. Kishore Jari ..... T4 M. S. S. Santhya.....  
 T5 ..... T6 .....  
 T7 ..... T8 .....  
 T9 ..... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
2	Communication Skill	9	10	9	9						
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	10	9	10						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	9	9	9						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	9	9	9						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	9	9						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	9	9						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	9	9	10						
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10						
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>99</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>95</b>						

Date: 9-9-2022

*Mary Ann*  
Student Signature



**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE  
KALIYAKKAVILAI**

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department: *Physical Education*

Semester/Year:  
*Even 2<sup>nd</sup>*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

- |                                   |                                   |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| T1 <i>Mr. V. Vijay</i> .....      | T2 <i>M.R. Dhenu Deodhu</i> ..... |
| T3 <i>A.K. Kishore Jani</i> ..... | T4 <i>M.S. S. Sanitha</i> .....   |
| T5 .....                          | T6 .....                          |
| T7 .....                          | T8 .....                          |
| T9 .....                          | T10 .....                         |

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10						
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	9	10	10						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	9	9	9						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	9	10	9						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	10	10	10						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	9	9	10						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	9	9	10						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	9	9	9						
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10						
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>97</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>97</b>						

Date: *10/09/2022*

*[Signature]*  
Student Signature

# NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE


## KALIYAKKAVILAI

### DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION


Academic year 2022 – 2023

#### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS REPORT

Feed back is meeting is held on 15/03/2023 an essential process and is needed to improve the efficiency is internal quality. More than 85% of the student respondents rate the subject as good/very good, which is useful, and having scope for students initiatives. The students are highly satisfied about the course content, coverage of syllabus, staff students relationship, conduct the test, students are unsatisfied of source material 4% and clarity 2%, integration with research and extension. Students are unsatisfied of lab facilities, department library.

  
PRINCIPAL  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153.



  
Head  
Department of Physical Education  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu.

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE,**

**KALIYAKKAVILAI**


**DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION**

**Academic year 2022 – 2023**


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**Action Take Report**

Introduced skill-based programme, relevant courses to meet the requirement of employability. Syllabus should be designed to inculcate ethical and human values, practical based courses, psychology to be introduced in the curriculum as a part of the subject of education, certificates courses for providing hands on training. Skill oriented and personality development programs were organised for all first year students. Few Teachers has been advised to handle classroom Teaching more effectively and advised to attend FDP regularly. Based on the advise Department instructed to Adopt innovative Teaching practices and methods for Effective Teaching and learning.

  
**PRINCIPAL**  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153.



  
**Head**  
Department of Physical Education  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu.



**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023**

Department: *BBA*

Semester/Year: *IV*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

- T1 ... *Dr. Sujatha joice* ... T2 *Dr. G. Rajesh Babu* ...  
 T3 ... *Princelin* ... T4 *Dr. Jashin Puro* ...  
 T5 ... *Jayashreekan* ... T6 ... *Subi Mol* ...  
 T7 ... *Sheela* ... T8 .....  
 T9 ..... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	1	8	9	8	8	8	7			
2	Communication Skill	2	9	8	7	7	8	6			
3	Commitment of the teacher	1	7	7	6	6	10	8			
4	Interest generated by the teacher	2	8	8	5	8	9	9			
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	4	6	10	8	6	10	7			
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	2	8	8	7	7	10	6			
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	3	10	9	6	8	9	8			
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	1	7	7	7	7	8	7			
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	5	9	8	8	6	9	7			
10	Overall rating	1	8	9	7	8	10	7			
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>22</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>72</b>			

Date: *31/10/2022*

*[Signature]*  
Student Signature

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023**

Department: *BBA*

Semester/Year: *IV*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

- T1 ..... *Ms. Sujatha Joice* ..... T2 ..... *Dr. G. Rajesh Babu* .....  
 T3 ..... *Pravili* ..... T4 ..... *Dr. Tasbin Bina* .....  
 T5 ..... *Jayashree* ..... T6 ..... *Subi Mol* .....  
 T7 ..... *Sheela* ..... T8 .....  
 T9 ..... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	8	9	10	9	10	8	8			
2	Communication Skill	9	10	9	8	9	9	8			
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	8	7	9	9	9	8			
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	9	10	9	10	8	7			
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	8	9	9	10	10	8			
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	7	10	7	10	7	9	7			
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	8	9	7	8	7	9			
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	8	10	6	7	9	8			
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	7	9	10	10	8	7	6			
10	Overall rating	9	10	10	9	10	9	8			
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>85</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>75</b>			

Date: *31/10/2022*

*[Signature]*  
Student Signature



**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE  
KALIYAKKAVILAI**

**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL**

**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023**

Department: Business Administration Semester/Year: I year 2<sup>nd</sup> Sem

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

- T1 Dr. Suroa Maheswari T2 Mrs. Reshma Raju  
 T3 Dr. P. Jasbin Bino T4 Dr. G. Rakesh Babu  
 T5 Mrs. G. S. Subi Mol T6 .....  
 T7 ..... T8 .....  
 T9 ..... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10	10					
3	Commitment of the teacher	9	8	9	9	9					
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	8	9	9	8					
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	9	9	9	10					
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	8	9	10	8	8					
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	7	7	9	9	7					
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	9	9	9					
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	10	10	9	9					
10	Overall rating	10	9	10	10	10					
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>92</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>90</b>					

Date: 31-10-2022

*Reshma*  
Student Signature



**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*

**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023**

Department: *Business Administration*

Semester/Year: *I year  
IInd Sem*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

- T1 *Dr. Suma Maheswari*    T2 *Mrs. Reshma Raju*  
 T3 *Dr. P. Jasbini Bino*    T4 *Dr. G. Rajesh Babu*  
 T5 *Mrs. G. S. Subi Mol*    T6 .....  
 T7 .....    T8 .....  
 T9 .....    T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10	9					
3	Commitment of the teacher	9	8	9	9	9					
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	9	9	9	9					
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	9	9	9	8					
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	5	10	9	9	9					
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	7	7	7	8	7					
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	8	8	10	9					
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	9	9	10	9					
10	Overall rating	9	9	9	10	9					
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>89</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>88</b>					

*[Signature]*  
Student Signature

Date: *31-10-2022*

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE  
KALIYAKKAVILAI**

**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL**

**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023**

Department: *Business Administration* Semester/Year: *2 sem/2<sup>nd</sup> year*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

- T1 *Dr. Suma Maheswari* T2 *Miss. Reshima Raju*  
 T3 *Dr. P. Jashin Bino.* T4 *Dr. G. Rajesh Babu*  
 T5 *Mrs. G. S. Subimal* T6 .....  
 T7 ..... T8 .....  
 T9 ..... T10 .....


S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10	10					
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	9	10	9	8					
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	9	9	9	9					
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	10	10	9	10					
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	8	7	10	7	10					
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	6	6	8	6	6					
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	8	7	7	7	6					
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	9	9					
10	Overall rating	9	8	9	9	8					
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>90</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>86</b>					

*Shubh...*

Student Signature

Date: *31.10.2022*

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE, KALIYAKKAVILAI**  
**DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION**  
**STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER**  
**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023**



*Rajesh*  
**Head**  
**Department of Business Administration**  
**Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science**  
**Kaliyakkavilal - 629 153, Tamil Nadu.**



*A. ...*  
**PRINCIPAL**  
**Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science**  
**Kaliyakkavilal - 629 153.**



**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**  
**DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION**  
**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023**

**ACTION TAKEN REPORT**

Based on the feedback collected from the students, the department took some actions that are listed below,

1. Power point presentation motivates students to take key points related with their study. Hence, number of PPT presentation per week was increased
2. Teachers evaluated the students based on the students learning skill to cope up with learning.
3. Teachers are motivated to use the college equipments in gathering information. They are asked to prepare more to cover all related concepts and topics about the subject.
4. Staff members are also encouraged to use innovative teaching methods like open classroom, interactive classrooms, group discussion etc.,

*Ref*

Head  
Department of Business Administration  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu.



*A. [Signature]*

PRINCIPAL  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Kaliyakkavilai 629 153

# NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

## KALIYAKKAVILAI

### INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department: Commerce

Semester/Year: II . 2022 - 23

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 ... <u>Dr. K. Lucas</u> .....	T2 ... <u>Dr. M. Sindhu</u> .....
T3 ... <u>Dr. N. Jeba Jasmine</u> .....	T4 ... <u>Dr. R. Shaba Rani</u> .....
T5 ... <u>Dr. G. Rajesh Babu</u> .....	T6 ... <u>Dr. R. Jolly Rosalind Silva</u> .....
T7 ... <u>Dr. E. Sabaya Ugin Mary</u> .....	T8 ... <u>Mrs. V. Vinny</u> .....
T9 .....	T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		
2	Communication Skill	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	9		
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	8	10	9	9	8	9	9	8		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	9	10	10	10	9	9	9		
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	9		
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10	10	9	9	9		
TOTAL		96	99	99	99	98	97	97	94		

Date: 9-3-2023

Student Signature



# NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: Commerce, B.com

Semester/Year: 2022 - 2023,

4 semester

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

- |   |                                       |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| T1 ... <u>Dr. R. Shoba Rani</u> ...       | T2 ... <u>Dr. N. Jeba Jasmin</u>      |
| T3 ... <u>Dr. K. Lucas</u> .....          | T4 ... <u>Dr. E. Sahaya Ugin Mary</u> |
| T5 ... <u>Mrs. N. R. Sheela</u> .....     | T6 ... <u>Mr. J. Jayaseelan</u> ...   |
| T7 ... <u>Dr. R. Jolly Rosalind Silva</u> | T8 ... <u>Dr. B. Gladwin Prince</u>   |
| T9 ... <u>Mrs. V. Vincy</u> .....         | T10 .....                             |

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	9	10	10	9	10	9	9	10	
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	9	9	10	9	9	9	9	9	
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	10	9	9	10	10	10	9	9	
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	9	
TOTAL		97	98	98	99	98	99	97	97	97	

Date: 9-03-2023

*Sheela*  
Student Signature



**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023**

Department: Commerce

Semester/Year: II 2022-23

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

- T1 Dr. R. Shoba Rani T2 Dr. R. Jolly Rosalind Silva  
 T3 Dr. N. R. Sheela T4 Dr. G. Rajesh Babu  
 T5 Dr. K. Lucas T6 V. Vincy  
 T7 Dr. M. Sindhu T8 Dr. N. Jeba Jasmine  
 T9 Dr. E. Sahaya Ugin Mary T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
2	Communication Skill	9	10	8	9	9	8	8	10	8	
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	10	9	9	10	9	9	10	8	
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	9	10	9	9	9	10	9	10	
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	9	10	10	10	10	10	9	
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
10	Overall rating	10	10	9	9	10	10	9	10	8	
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>98</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>92</b>	

Date: 09-03-2023

Akshari  
Student Signature

# NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

## KALIYAKKAVILAI

### INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023**

Department: Commerce

Semester/Year: II 2022-23

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Dr. R. Shoba Rani      T2 Dr. R. Jolly Rosalind Silva  
 T3 Dr. R. Shoola                      T4 Dr. G. Rajesh Babu  
 T5 Dr. K. Lucas                      T6 V. Vincy  
 T7 Dr. M. Sindhu                      T8 Dr. N. Jeba Jasmine  
 T9 Dr. E. Sabaya Ugin Mary      T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
2	Communication Skill	9	8	8	9	9	9	9	10	8	
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	10	9	10	10	9	9	10	8	
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	9	9	9	9	9	10	9	9	
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
10	Overall rating	9	9	9	9	10	10	9	10	8	
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>97</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>91</b>	

Date: 9-3-23

*Selvan*  
Student Signature



# NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE KALIYAKKAVILAI

## INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department: B. Com

Semester/Year: IV / 2022 - 23

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 ..... <u>Vincy . V</u> .....	T2 ..... <u>Jolly Rosalind Silver</u> .....
T3 ..... <u>Sindhu</u> .....	T4 ..... <u>Vinil Kumar . N</u> .....
T5 ..... <u>Jeba Jasmine</u> .....	T6 ..... <u>Sheela . N</u> .....
T7 ..... <u>Lucas</u> .....	T8 .....
T9 .....	T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	9	9	10			
2	Communication Skill	9	10	9	8	9	8	10			
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	9	10	10	9	10			
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	9	9	9	10	10	9			
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	8	10	9	10			
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	10	9	10	9	8	8			
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	9	9	9	8	10			
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	9	8	9	9	9			
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	9	10	10	8	10			
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	9	9	9	10			
TOTAL		96	98	93	91	94	87	96			

Date: 09-03-2023

  
 Student Signature



**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF  
ARTS AND SCIENCE, KALIYAKKAVILAI**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS REPORT 2022-2023**


**INTRODUCTION:**

The students are supposed to be the most important stakeholders of Higher Education systems. The interest and participation of students at all levels in both internal quality assurance and external quality assurance have to play a central role. Any Higher Education Institution needs to ensure that students have a voice at various decisions making processes, formulating learning and teaching practices and those views of students are to be considered as the primary evidence on which the quality of teaching and learning is evaluated. The Student Feedback Approach is basically about institutional practices, processes and frameworks that take into account students concerns of the quality of the education they receive. In each section, the report includes findings of the top tasks which candidates feel the most and least prepared in.


**The main objectives of the feedback system are as follows:**

- To provide the platform to the students in identifying a greater role in the teaching learning process.
- To help the faculty modification and improvement in the teaching methodology at the College.
- To develop a communication channel between the management and students.
- To maintain the functioning of the College system in the field of teaching learning process, library, sports, administration, etc at its level best.

ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher,	10
The evaluation process	10
Time management	9
Overall rating	10




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	8
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	10
Time management	8
Overall rating	9







ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	10
Time management	9
Overall rating	10



ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	10
Time management	.9
Overall rating	10




ATTRIBUTES	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	10
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	10
Time management	9
Overall rating	10







ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	9
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	9
Time management	10
Overall rating	10



ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	10
Time management	9
Overall rating	10




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	8
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	10
Time management	8
Overall rating	9





ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	10
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	10
Time management	9
Overall rating	10




## Conclusion:

The various graphs present the views expressed by present students in regard to their perception of quality in an educational institution. More than 75% students are satisfied with their teaching methodology of our college. The quality of education depended on the overall academic environment of the institution, a well-furnished and stocked library, Necessary computer facilities, and well-equipped labs are the infrastructural priorities. The quality education could come only if there were quality teachers who were good communicators. The output shows a very good environment of teaching at Nanjil College. The staff those who need improvement are requested to deliver the course efficiency after well preparation.

Report submitted by:



PRINCIPAL  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153



Head of the Department

Head  
Department of Commerce  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science,  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu.

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE,  
KALIYAKKAVILAI  
Department of commerce  
Students Feedback on Teachers Action Report 2022-2023**

Department of commerce after collect the student's feedback on teachers are carefully summarized and tabulated. With the appropriate framework for support and feedback, teachers will be able to make continual improvements in their practice and continue to ensure that every student has access to a great education. The staff those who need improvement are requested to deliver the course efficiency after well preparation.

Report submitted by:



**PRINCIPAL**  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153.

Head of the Department

**Head**  
**Department of Commerce**  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science,  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu.



**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department: *Socialwork*

Semester/Year: III

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 *Mary Palsit . S*                      T2 *Krishna Prasad KR*  
 T3 *Dr. S. Ponni*                          T4 *Selva Vijayaraj*  
 T5 .....                                      T6 .....  
 T7 .....                                      T8 .....  
 T9 .....                                      T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	8	10	8	2						
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10						
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10	10						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	10	10						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10	10						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10	10						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10						
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10						
<b>TOTAL</b>		98	100	98	98						

Date: *20/03/2023*

*[Signature]*  
Student Signature

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department: *Socialwork*

Semester/Year: *III*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 *S. Mary Pelsit* ..... T2 *Krishna Prasad k.R*  
 T3 *Dr. S. Ponni* ..... T4 *Beeth Vijayan* .....  
 T5 ..... T6 .....  
 T7 ..... T8 .....  
 T9 ..... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	8	9	6	7						
2	Communication Skill	7	8	5	7						
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	9	5	6						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	7	8	4	6						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	10	2	7						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	10	5	7						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	7	10	6	8						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	7	9	5	7						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	9	5	6						
10	Overall rating	10	10	9	10						
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>23</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>71</b>						

Date: *26-03-2023*

*[Signature]*  
Student Signature

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department: *Socialwork*

Semester/Year: *IV*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 *S. Mary pelsit*..... T2 *Krishna Prasad kR*  
 T3 *Seetha vijayar*..... T4 .....  
 T5 ..... T6 .....  
 T7 ..... T8 .....  
 T9 ..... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10							<i>✓</i>
2	Communication Skill	10	10	9							
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	9	9							
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	9	8							
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	9							
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	10							
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10							
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10							
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	10	10							
10	Overall rating	9	10	8							
<b>TOTAL</b>		<i>94</i>	<i>98</i>	<i>94</i>							

Date: *20/3/23*

*[Signature]*  
 Student Signature



**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department: *Socialwork*

Semester/Year: *IV*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

- T1 *S. Mary Pelscit* ..... T2 *Krishna Prasad KR*  
 T3 *Seetha Vijayaraj* ..... T4 .....  
 T5 ..... T6 .....  
 T7 ..... T8 .....  
 T9 ..... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10							<del>10</del>
2	Communication Skill	9	10	9					✓		
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	9	9				✓			
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	9	9							✓
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	9	10							✓
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	10	9							✓
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	9	9						✓	
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	9							
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	9							
10	Overall rating	10	10	10							
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>85</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>83</b>							

Date: *20/3/2023*

*[Signature]*  
 Student Signature

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department: Special Works

Semester/Year: IV

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 S. Mary Pelsit ..... T2 Krishna Prasad K.R.  
 T3 Geetha Vijayan ..... T4 .....  
 T5 ..... T6 .....  
 T7 ..... T8 .....  
 T9 ..... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10							
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10							
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10							
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	9							
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10							
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	10							
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	10	9							
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10							
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	10	10							
10	Overall rating	10	9	10							
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>99</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>98</b>							

Date: 20/3/23

  
Student Signature

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023**

Department: *Socialwork*

Semester/Year: III

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

- |                                |                                |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| T1 <i>S. Mary Pelsit</i> ..... | T2 <i>Krishna Prasad K.R</i>   |
| T3 <i>Dr. S. Ponni</i> .....   | T4 <i>Geetha Vejayan</i> ..... |
| T5 .....                       | T6 .....                       |
| T7 .....                       | T8 .....                       |
| T9 .....                       | T10 .....                      |

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	9	9	9						
2	Communication Skill	9	8	8	8						
3	Commitment of the teacher	9	8	7	8						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	9	8	9						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	9	10						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	9	9	9						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	9	9						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10						
10	Overall rating										
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>85</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>92</b>						

Date: *20/3/2023*

*[Signature]*  
Student Signature



**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department: *Socialwork*

Semester/Year: III

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

- |                                |                                |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| T1 <i>S. Mary Pelsit</i> ..... | T2 <i>Krishna Prasad K.R</i>   |
| T3 <i>Dr. S. Ponni</i> .....   | T4 <i>Seetha Vijayar</i> ..... |
| T5 .....                       | T6 .....                       |
| T7 .....                       | T8 .....                       |
| T9 .....                       | T10 .....                      |

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	8	6	9						
2	Communication Skill	9	8	4	9						
3	Commitment of the teacher	9	9	9	9						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	6	6	7						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	8	7	9						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	7	7	9						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	7	9	8	9						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	9	9						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	7	8	8	7						
10	Overall rating	9	9	8	9						
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>85</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>86</b>						

Date: *20/03/2023*

*Mora*  
Student Signature

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department: *Socialwork*

Semester/Year: *V*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 *S. Mary Pelsit*      T2 *Krishna Prasad k.R.*  
 T3 *Geetha vijayan*      T4 .....  
 T5 .....      T6 .....  
 T7 .....      T8 .....  
 T9 .....      T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	8	10	7							
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10							
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	10	10							
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10							
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10							
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	10							
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10							
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	8	10							
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	8	10	8							
10	Overall rating	10	10	10							
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>94</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>95</b>							

Date: *20/3/2023*

*[Signature]*  
Student Signature

# NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

## KALIYAKKAVILAI

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department Special Work

Semester/Year: VII

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

- |                         |                                 |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| T1 <u>p. Mary Debit</u> | T2 <u>Krishnaji Prasad K.R.</u> |
| T3 <u>Dr. S. Polari</u> | T4 <u>Geetha Vijayan</u>        |
| T5 .....                | T6 .....                        |
| T7 .....                | T8 .....                        |
| T9 .....                | T10 .....                       |

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	5	10						
2	Communication Skill	10	10	9	10						
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	9	10						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	9	10						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	9	10						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	9	10						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	8	10						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	7	9						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	6	8						
10	Overall rating	10	10	5	6						
TOTAL		100	100	70	93						

Date: 20/03/2023

*Student Signature*



**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023**

Department: *Socialwork*

Semester/Year: *IV*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 *S. Mary Pelsel* T2 *Krishna Prasad KR*  
 T3 *Beethu Vijayaraj* T4 .....  
 T5 ..... T6 .....  
 T7 ..... T8 .....  
 T9 ..... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	8	9							✓
2	Communication Skill	8	10	10							✓
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10							✓
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10							✓
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	7	10							
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	10							
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10							
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10							
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10							
10	Overall rating	10	10	10							
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>98</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>99</b>							

Date: *20/03/2023*

*[Signature]*  
Student Signature

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE**  
**KALIYAKKAVILAI**

*INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL*


**STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department: Social work


Semester/Year: 2

Name of the Teachers: Mrs. S.Mary Pelsit




Name of the Teachers: Krishna Prasad KR

Semester/Year: 2



Name of the Teachers: Ms. Seethu Vijayan

Semester/Year: 2






Teacher's feedback forms were collected from the II MSW students to evaluate the teacher's performance of the quality outcome. In this, Knowledge, Communication, Commitment, Interest generated, ICT, teaching pedagogy, accessibility, results were evaluated for each faculty. All the students were highly satisfied with the overall teaching methodology.



**Head**  
**Department of Social Work**  
**Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science**  
**Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu.**




**PRINCIPAL**  
**Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science**  
**Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153**

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE, KALIKYAVILAI**  
**DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL WORK**  
**ACTION TAKEN REPORT BASED ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**  
**(2022-2023)**

The students given a perfect feedback on teachers in department of social worker based on certain criteria such as knowledge base of teachers, communication skill, commitment of teachers, interest generated by teachers, use of modern techniques, accessibility of teachers, time management and evaluation process of teachers. By analysing, it is clear that all the students are satisfied with the teaching pattern of the teachers and based on the feedback department of social work planned to organise practical base theory class to the students.

  
PRINCIPAL  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153



  
Head  
Department of Social Work  
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science  
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu.