#### INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


#### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

#### **ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023**

Department: TAMIL

Semester/Year: 3033

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



#### Name of the Teachers:

TI Dr. S. Thankam T2 Dr. M. Peril Threse

T3 Dr. A. Sujatha Joice T4 Dr. T. Little Mary

TS DY. S. Surra Mahaswaxi To DY. N. Saama Hole

Tr. Dr. R. Adlin Jeba Ts Mrs. P. Sriya pushfam.

T9 ...... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	Т3	T4	<b>T5</b>	Т6	<b>T</b> 7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
2	Communication Skill	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
3	Commitment of the teacher	7	8	7	8	٦	8	7	8		
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	9	9	q	9	9	9	9		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	5	6	5	6	5	6	5	6		The second second
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	7	7	٦	7	٦	7	٦	7		and the same
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		The same of the sa
10	Overall rating	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
	TOTAL	81	83	81	83	81	83	2)	83		

Date: 30/5/2023

Janda Student Signature


INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

#### **ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023**

Department: TAMIL	Semester/Year:	2022
-------------------	----------------	------

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

u	ie of the reachers.
	TI DSS. Thankam T2 Dr M. Peril Threse
	T3 DO: A Sujatha Soice T4 Drg. Little Mary, T5 Dr.S. Syma Maheswari T6 Dr. N. Seema Mole
	TS Dr.S. Syma Maheswari To Dr N. Seema Mole
	To Dr. R. Adlin Sehar To Mrs. & P. Soing push pam
	T9

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	<b>T3</b>	T4	<b>T5</b>	<b>T6</b>	<b>T7</b>	Т8	Т9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
2	Communication Skill	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	9	9	9	9	9	on	8		
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	9	9	a	9	9	9	9		
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	Ŋ	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		
10	Overall rating	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
	TOTAL	84	87	87	87	87	87	87	86		

Date: 30 - 05. 2023

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

### **ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023**

Department: Town;	Semester/Year: 2022-2023
Please rate on the following attributes using the	
10 9 8 7 6	
Name of the Teachers:  T1 Dr. M. Peril Threse	T2 Dr. A. Scripta Joice
T3 Dr. S. Thouskown T5 Dr. S. Scana Mahosnai	T4 Dr. T. Little Mary
	To Mas . B. Sriyon Pushpan
T9	T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	<b>T6</b>	17	T8	Т9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
2	Communication Skill	8	B	8	8	8	8	8	8		
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	B	B	8	8	8	8	8		
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	q		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	6	6	6	b	6	6	6	6		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	Q	B	8	8	8	8	8	8		
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	0)	10	10	10	10	10	10		
10	Overall rating	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	to,	l.
	TOTAL	83	83	B	83	83	83	88	83		

Date: 50,57,23

Ben U Student Signature

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

### **ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023**

Department:	TAMIL	_
-------------	-------	---

Semester/Year: 2021

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



#### Name of the Teachers:

II Dr. S. Thankam	T2 Dr. M. Peril Threse
-------------------	------------------------

T7	Dr. R. Adlin. Jeba.	T8 Mrs. P. Sriya pushpan
T9		T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	<b>T2</b>	Т3	T4	<b>T</b> 5	<b>T6</b>	<b>T7</b>	T8	<b>T9</b>	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
2	Communication Skill	8	8	8	&	B	8	ક	ક		
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	જ	රි	8	8	&	8	ଚ		
4	Interest generated by the teacher	&	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	6	6	Ь	6	6	6	Ь	6		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	ନ୍ତ	8	ଟ	8	ક	8	ક		
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10	to	to	lo		
10	Overall rating	જ	8	ક	હ	8	જ	8	8		
	TOTAL	83	83	83	83	&3	83	83	83		

Date: 30 15 2023

Delbina Student Signature

KAĻIYAKKAVILAI

### INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

#### **ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023**

Department: Jomi	Semester/Year: 2022-2023
Please rate on the following attributes using the	e 10 -point scale shown
10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Very Good Good Satisfacto	ory Below threshold
Name of the Teachers:	
TI Ds. M. Pezil Threve	T2 Dr. S. Thankam
T3 Dr. A. Sujata Toice	To Dr. N. Soona Mole
TS Dr. S. Suna Mahasa	To DII N. Soona Mole
Tr. Dr. R. Adlin Joba	T8 Mrs . P. Stiya Pashjam
то	T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	Т3	<b>T4</b>	<b>T5</b>	<b>T6</b>	<b>T7</b>	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
2	Communication Skill	8	8	ଷ	8	8	8	8	8		
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	8	8	8	8	8	8 3	8		
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	6	6	کم	0	6	6	6	6		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		
10	Overall rating	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
	TOTAL	&3	83	83	83	83	83	83	83		

Date: 30,5. 23

### ஆசிரியர் குறித்து மாணவர்களின் பின்னூட்ட அடுக்கை நாஞ்சில் கத்தோலிக்க கலை மற்றும் அடுவியல் கல்லூரி தமிழ்த்துறை

2022 - 2023

மாணவர்களுக்கு பாடம் நடத்திய எட்டு ஆசிரியர்கள் குறித்து மாணவர்களிடம் ஒன்பது கேள்விகள் முன்வைக்கப்பட்டன.

ஆசிரியர்களின் அழிவு குறித்து கேட்ட கேள்விக்கு அனைத்து மாணவர்களும் ஒன்பது மதிப்பெண் வழங்கியுள்ளனர். அது போல் ஆசிரியர்களின் பாடத்தயாரிப்பு, பகுப்பாய்வு போன்றவற்றிற்கும் ஒன்பது மதிப்பெண் வழங்கியுள்ளனர்.

பாடத்திட்டத்தை குறிப்பிட்ட காலத்திற்குள் நடத்துவதந்க அனைத்து மாணவர்களும் பத்து மதிப்பெண் வழங்கியுள்ளனர்.

ஆசிரியர்கள் பாடத்திட்டத்தோடு பொதுச் செய்திகளை விளக்கி பாடமெடுப்பதற்கு ஏழு மதிப்பெண்களையும், பாடமெடுக்கும் போது துணைக்கருவிகளைப் பயன்படுத்துவதற்கு சராசரியாக ஆறு மதிப்பெண்களையும் வழங்கியுள்ளனர்.

STATE OF STA

A. mount

PRINCIPAL
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
A Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

Department of Tamii
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamii Naori

### ஆசிரியர்கள் குறித்து மாணவர்களின் பின்னூட்ட நடவடிக்கை அறிக்கை நாஞ்சில் கத்தோலிக்க கலை மற்றும் அறிவியல் கல்லூரி

தமிழ்த்**துறை** 

2022 - 2023

பாடத்திட்டத்தில் துணைக் கருவிகளை அதிகமாகப் பயன்படுத்துவதற்கு ஒளிபடக் காட்டியை அதிகமாக பயன்படுத்தவும் பாடம் தொடர்புடைய புதிய செய்திகளைப் பாடத்தோடு தொடர்புபடுத்தி எடுக்கவும் நடவடிக்கை எடுக்கப்பட்டுள்ளது.

பாடத்தயாரிப்பு, பகுப்பாய்விற்கும் விருப்பமுடைய அந்த அந்த பாடங்களில் தேர்ந்த பேராசிரியர்களைப் பயன்படுத்தவும் நடவடிக்கை மேற்கொள்ளப்பட்டுள்ளது.



PRINCIPAL
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

Head
Department of Tamil
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nagu

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


#### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 20 23

Department:	English
-------------	---------

Semester/Year: 11 2022 - 23

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Below threshold

#### Name of the Teachers:

Tl	Mrs.	Say	iL	ha.	Sa	ian	١
		( )		-			

T2 Mrs. J. Blessy

T3 Mrs. Anisha T4 Dr. Virgin Mary.
T5 Mrs. Reshma Raju T6 Mrs. Sherine Francis
T7 Mrs. Rosary Vinintha T8 Mrs. Amala. Thomas

S. No.	Attributes	<b>T1</b>	T2	Т3	T4	<b>T5</b>	<b>T6</b>	<b>T7</b>	Т8	<b>T9</b>	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	9	9	q	10	9	10	9		
2	Communication Skill	9	9	9	8	10	10	10	10		
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10	9	9	9	9		
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	8	9	9	q	8	9	8		
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	q	10	9	q	9	9	10	9		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	8	8	8	8	9	9	10	10		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	9		
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	10	10	10	9	8	9	9		
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	8	10	10	10	10	9	9	9		
10	Overall rating	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
	TOTAL	91	93	93	92	94	90	95	91		

Student Signature

Date: 22-3-2023

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

#### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC '	YEAI	R 20	d2-	<b>2</b> 0 c	23					
Department: English					Seme	ester/	Year	: I	事	02
Please rate on the following attributes using th	e 10 -	point	scale						•	
Very Good Good Satisfactory Below threshold										
Name of the Teachers:	J									
TI Mrs. Anisha.P	T2	N	lrs.	V.	ra	?п.	N	Jas	y	
TI Mrs. Anisha.P T3 Mrs. Subi M.S	T4	/./	25	- A)	ma	la	TF	מ.סו	nas	
TS Mys. Safitha Sajan	T6									
T5 Mrs : Saji tha Sajan T7 Mrs : Merlin Mahil	Т8									
TO Mrs. Rosany		o								
S. Attributes	T1	T2	Т3	T4	T5	Т6	17	Т8	Т9	T10
1 Knowledge base of the teacher	q	8	9	10	9		10		٥١	
2 Communication Skill	8	a	9	10	9		10		10	
a C is a fals toocher	0			10			0		1	

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	Т3	T4	T5	Т6	17	Т8	Т9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	8	9	10	9		10		סן	
2	Communication Skill	8	q	9	10	9		10		10	
3	Commitment of the teacher	9	10	10	10	10		9		10	
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10	9	10		8		טן	
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	9	10	9	9		9		9	·
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	9	9	10	8		0)		8	
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	9	8	9		9		9	
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	q	0)	8	g	10		9		8	
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	8	9	9	.9		10		9	
10	Overall rating	9.	9	9	9	9		9		9	
	TOTAL	93	92	92	92	92		93		92	

Date: 88/08/23

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

#### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


#### **ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

2022-2023

Department: English

Semester/Year: II sem / IV Sem.

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

TI Mrs. Amala Thomas T2 Mrs. Sajitha Sajan

T3 MYS. Subi MS T4 MYS. Sherin Francis

To Mys. Blessy To Mys. Mexico

TO MYS. Avisha TO MYS. Virgin Mary.....

TO Mrs. Reshma Raju TIO Mrs. Rosany Vinitha

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	Т3	T4	<b>T5</b>	Т6	T7	Т8	<b>T9</b>	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	7	9	8	7	9	8	10	8	9	7
2	Communication Skill	9	7	8	7	8	9	8	7	8	હ
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	&	9	ક	٦	8	7	9	9	9
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	9	10	9	9	7	В	8	ક	9
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	7	8	8	10	8	9	7	7	7	8
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	8	7	7	9	7	8	8	8	8	9
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	9	9	8	9	9	9	7	9	8
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	8	10	7	8	7	&	8	7	&
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	8	7	8	9	7	8	7	9	8	7
10	Overall rating	૪	8	9	9	8	9	8	9	8	9
	TOTAL	83	80	86	83	80	82	80	80	81	82

Date: 22-03-2023

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

#### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 20 23

Below threshold

Department:	English						S	emes	ter/Yea	ir: 1,	, 2
Please rate on the	he following a	ittribute	s using th	e 10 - <sub>1</sub>	oint s	cale	show	n			
	10 9	8	7 6	5	4	3	2	1	θ		
						拉蘇			17 (1) 18 (1)		

Very Good Good Satisfactory

Name of the Teachers:

- SI VIII I CWOIIGIBI	
TIDILimcy.C	T2Mos Amala Thomas
T3 P.R. Princelin	T4 Mrs Blessy
T5 Mrs. Sajitha Sajan	T6 Mos. Mes Lin Mahil.
T7 Dr. Virgin Mary	T8 Mos Reshma Raju
TOMAS. Sherin Francis	T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	Т3	<b>T4</b>	T5	<b>T6</b>	T7	T8	Т9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	8	8	9	9	9	8	8	8	9	
2	Communication Skill	8	8	9	9	10	8	8	9	9	
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	8	9	8	9	9	9	8	9	
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	7	8	9	7	7	7	7	7	7	
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	8	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	8	9	9	9	9	9	8	9	
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	17	)
	TOTAL	89	88	94	92	192	, 9:	1. 90	1 3.8	91	

Date: March 22, 2023

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

CAI	n 20	ad-						1		
				Seme	ester/	Year	· VI	ZJ.	2093	- 2023
10 -	point	t scale			0					
	40 第	\$ 2								
ry					.1.					
T2 T4	 М	\Y.s	!í. Ş	xin. aith	tha.	Ω Ç	) Aja	n		
Т6	M	: e.r.	Li.	מחנו	<b>.</b>					
	1.0.0					and the same of	-	Total Control	T10	
	T2 T4 T6 T8 T10	T2 T6 T10	T2	T2	Below threshold  T2 Mys Saith  T6 Mys Limes  T8	Semester/ 10-point scale shown  Ty Below threshold  T2 Mys Sajitha  T6 Mys Limey  T8	Semester/Year  10 -point scale shown  Ty Below threshold  T2 Mys Princilin  T4 Mys Saitha  T6 Mys Limey  T8	Semester/Year: VI 10 -point scale shown  Ty Below threshold  T2 Mys Princilin  T4 Mys Sajitha Saja  T6 Mys Limey  T8  T10	Semester/Year: VIV	Semester/Year: VI 2000 10 -point scale shown  The scale s

				,							
S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	Т3	T4	T5	Т6	<b>T7</b>	Т8	Т9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	8	9	9	9	9	9		9	
2	Communication Skill	10	9	9	9	9	9	9		9	
3	Commitment of the teacher	9	9	q	9	9	9	O		G	
4	Interest generated by the teacher	g	9	9	9.	9	8	9		9	
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	(0	0	to	lo	6	8	lo		20	
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	0)	9	8	8	7.	8	10		8	2 11
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	9	9	9	9	9	9		9	
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	0	to	q	to	8	10		9	
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	0)	0	(6	9	6	0	9		6	
10	Overall rating	9	9	9	9	0	8	9		9	
	TOTAL	95	92	92	91	92	85	91		91	

Date: 22 03 2023

#### KALIYAKKAVILAI

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH**

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS: 2022-2023

In the department of English 12 staff worked in the year 2022-2023. All the students of English department told that the teachers always prepared for the classes. They covered the entire syllabus as prescribed by the university. Teachers managed and motivated the students to study regularly. All were creative in developing activities and lessons. They encouraged the students to speak up and be active in the class. Teachers were good in technical content, communication skills and using of non print teaching aids. Teachers always respected the opinions and decisions of the students. Their words and actions were seemed to be matched. Their voice was audible, consistent and fair in discipline. They helped the students when they asked for help. The students trusted the teachers.

By collecting the student's feedback on teacher's majority of the teachers secured above 90%. The students were satisfied with the teaching strategies of all Professors.

Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science

Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

Department of English Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu

#### KALIYAKKAVILAI

#### DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH

#### **ACTION TAKEN REPORT**

In the Academic year 2022-2023, majority of the staffs secured good scores for the questions from 1-10. The teachers were also asked to explain what is expected on assignments and test. The students expected the teachers to encourage them to refer books and articles, motivate them to study regularly and to improve their communication skills. The teachers were instructed to use more modern teaching aids, handouts, PPT and good rapport between them and students. The teachers accepted to improve themselves.



PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL

Science

Naniil Catholic College of Arts & Science Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

Head

Department of English
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu

#### INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

#### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 20 23

Department: Mathematics	Semester/Year: II   I
Please rate on the following attributes using the	e 10 -point scale shown
10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	<b>建筑是是西西西西西</b>
Very Good Good Satisfact	ory Below threshold
Name of the Teachers:	
TI Mr. Adlin Jeba	T2 Dr. Virgin Mary
T3. Dr. A. Ajitha	T4 Dr. Musugaxel
TS Mrs. G.M. Dhanya	T6
T7	T8
Т9	T10

S. No.	Attributes	TI	T2	T3	T4	T5	<b>T6</b>	17	T8	T9	T10
l	Knowledge base of the teacher	to	10	10	10	lo			221.50033		
2	Communication Skill	10	20	10	10						
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	rae -		lo					
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10		10						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10			a					
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	10	629	lo					
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	10	2	9	10					
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10		10	10	(0					
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	50	10	10	10					
10	Overall rating	10			10		4				
	TOTAL	99	too	(3)		99	À				

Date: 16 03 2023

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

#### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

#### **ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department:	Mathematics
-------------	-------------

Semester/Year: VI/ m

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



#### Name of the Teachers:

TI Dr. A. Ajitha	T2 Mrs. S. Jerlin Mary
T3 Dr. S. Kavitha	T4 Dr. Bertilla Jaushal
TS Mrs. G. M. Dhanya	To Mrs. I Many Bery
T7	T8
Т9	T10 -

S. No.	Attributes	TI	112	T3	T4	T5	<b>T6</b>	<b>T7</b>	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	9	8	9	9	8				
2	Communication Skill	8	9	8	9	8	9				
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	9	10	8	10	10				
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	9	10	8	9	10				
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	8	9	10	8	9	- 61			
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	8	10	9	8	10	9				
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	8	10	9	8	10				
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	9	8	10	9	8				
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	9	10	9	10	9				
10	Overall rating	9	10	9	10	9	10				
	TOTAL	91	90	91	90	90	92				

Date: 16/03/2023

#### INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

#### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Year:	6	III TO
•	Year:	Year: 6

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



#### Name of the Teachers:

TI Dr. A. Ajitha	T2 Mrs. S. Jerlin Mary.
T3 Dr. S. Kavitha	T4 Dr. Bertilla Jaushal
TS Mrs. G.M. Dhanya	To Mrs. I Mary Bery
T7	T8
Т9	T10

S. No.	Attributes	Ti	T2	Т3	T4	T5	T6	<b>T7</b>	T8	Т9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	8	9	10	10	9	7				
2	Communication Skill	9	7	8	7	to	10				
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	8	8	8	٦	8				
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	9	to	lo	8	9				
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	9	9	9	8	9				
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	7	lo	lo	9	6	9				
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	9	8	8	9	8				
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	8	9	lo	9	9				
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	lo	lo	9	lo	9				
10	Overall rating	9	9	8	9	٩	8				
	TOTAL	86	88	90	89	82	86				

Date: 16 03 2023

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

	ACADEMIC			110-725-00-	200	-					
Dep	artment: Mathematics					Sem	ester	/Year	r: T	V/	2 <sup>nd</sup>
	Very Good Good Satisface of the Teachers:  T1 Dr. Ajitha  TMs.Mary. Bery.	tory T2 T4 T6	Mrs.	Below Abil	thresho	old Mc	xy.			តា ស	
	Т9	T1	0								
S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	Т3	T4	T5	Т6	<b>T</b> 7	Т8	Т9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	95.	10	10	q						
2	Communication Skill										
	Communication Skin	10	9	10	10						
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	9								
3	No. 100 Control Contro			10	10						
	Commitment of the teacher	10	9	10	10						
4	Commitment of the teacher  Interest generated by the teacher  The teacher come well prepared for the class.  Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts,	10 9 10	9	10 9 9	10 9 9						
5	Commitment of the teacher  Interest generated by the teacher  The teacher come well prepared for the class.  Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.  Accessibility of the teacher in and	10 10	9 10 10	10 9 9	10 9 9 10						
4 5 6	Commitment of the teacher  Interest generated by the teacher  The teacher come well prepared for the class.  Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10 9 10	9 10 10 9	10 9 10 10 9	10 9 9 10						
4 5 6 7	Commitment of the teacher  Interest generated by the teacher  The teacher come well prepared for the class.  Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.  Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class  The evaluation process is fair and	10 9 10 10	9 10 10 9	10 9 9 10	10 9 9 10 10 10						
4 5 6 7 8	Commitment of the teacher  Interest generated by the teacher  The teacher come well prepared for the class.  Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.  Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class  The evaluation process is fair and unbiased  Time Management in the completion of	10 9 10 10 9	9 10 10 9 9	10 9 10 10 9 9	10 9 9 10						

Date: 16 3 2023

#### KALIYAKKAVILAI

#### INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

#### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

		The manner									
Dep	partment: Mathernoxics					Sem	iestei	r/Yea	ır: X	T /	200
Pleas	se rate on the following attributes using the	ne 10	-poin	t scal	e sho	wn					
	10 9 8 7 6	5	4	3	2						
Nam	Very Good Good Satisfacte of the Teachers:	ctory		pelow	thresh	ola					
	TI	т	M	4S	Abil	a	W-00414	.vcc149791	010101010101010	15	
	T3 Mrs. Mary Berry	T4	.17.14	Z2	なストウ	ດ	1,10	xA	•••••	t).	
	T5	Te	·							ě	
	T7	Т8	3								
	Т9	Т1	0								
	17	11		1.000						•	
S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	Т3	T4	T5	Т6	<b>T7</b>	Т8	Т9	T10
ì	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	l o	lo	1.0						
2	Communication Skill	9	10	9	9						
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	lo	9	10	10						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	lo	10						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	to	9	10						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	9	10	9						
8											
U	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased  Time Management in the completion of	10	lo	9	lo						

10 10 10

97 98 97 98

10

TOTAL

Student Signature

Date: 16 03 2023

syllabus

Overall rating

10

#### DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023

#### FEED BACK REPORT OF STUDENTS ABOUT TEACHERS

85% of our students felt that the Knowledge base of 90% teachers is so good and Communication skill of our teachers is accepted by 91% of students. According to department of Mathematics, the commitment of the teachers is reach 100%. Also, 82% of our staff generates interest in subjects for all the students. All students accepted that all the subject teachers well prepared by teachers teach the topics with teaching aids and power point presentation. All the teachers submit the internal assessments on time and all the teachers completed the portions on time and therefore they can revise the syllabus before the university examination. Thus students conclude that the teachers in department of Mathematics is over all satisfied.

KALIYAKKAVILAI PARAMIKANILAI P

PRINCIPAL
Nanjil Cathelic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

Liepartmont of Newhernatics Nanjil Callson: College of Arts & Science Kallyol(savija - 6.5) 152, Tamil Madu.

# Nanjil Catholic College of Arts and Science


#### Kaliyakkavilai

#### **Department of Mathematics**

#### Students Feedback Chart on Teachers (2022-2023)

Name of the Teache rs	Dr.A.Ajitha	Mrs.S.Jerlin Mary	Mrs.R. Abila	Mrs.I.Ma ry Bexy	Dr.S. Kavitha	Dr.A.J.Bertilla Jaushal	Mrs.G.M.Dhanya
Overall rating	92	86	85	85	80	85	82

#### Teachers Feedback



Name of the Teachers

COLLEGEO A SOLUTION OF SOLUTIO

PRINCIPAL

'antil Catholic Cellege of Arts & Science

Kalivakk-vilai - 623 153

Department of Mathematics
Nanjil Catholic Gollege of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nade.

#### Nanjil Catholic College of arts and Science Kaliakkavilai

#### Department of Mathematics

#### Students Feed Back on Teachers (2022-2023)

#### Action Taken Report

In the academic year 2022-2023, when comparing the overall values from 1st to 10st question, all got almost high value. Staff those who got low values were instructed to improve good report from teachers and the students. The teacher were also asked to explain what is expected on assignment and internal examination. The students expected the teachers to encourage them to refer text books and articles, motivate them to study regularly and to improve their communication skills. The teacher were instructed to use more PPT's and Teaching Aids. The teachers accepted to improve themselves.



PRINCIPAL
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

Department of Mathematics
Nanjil Calholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavitan Czal 153, Tamii Nadu.

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC	YEA	R 20	)22-	202	23						
Department: Physics					Sem	ester	/Yea	r: 2	028	- 20	D3
Please rate on the following attributes using the	ne 10	-poin	t scal	e sho	wn						
10 9 8 7 6	5	1	3	2		0					
Very Good Good Satisfact Name of the Teachers:	tory		Below	thresh	old						
TI Dr. M. Amalanatham	T2		Dr.	Ma	13.SF	U.XX.2.	R	obest.			
T3 Da. S. Anlong Dominic Ch	nida4	·	Dr	5-5	. 10	sid(	iy.				
TS Dr. T.R Jeens	<i>рис</i> Т6	!	MAS		<u> </u>	zee	na				
TT Dr. S. Murugavel	Т8		Mx	-FS	Bah	44	c R	ej			
Т9						•••••		•	5		
S. No. Attributes	<b>T1</b> .	<b>T2</b>	Т3	<b>T4</b>	<b>T5</b>	Т6	<b>T7</b>	Т8	Т9	T10	
1 Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	ю	10	0	01	10	10	A service and could be	West .	
2 Communication Skill	0	8	01	8	01	20	a	B			

S. No.	Attributes	<b>T1</b>	<b>T2</b>	Т3	<b>T4</b>	T5	<b>T6</b>	17	<b>T8</b>	<b>T9</b>	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	Ь	10	0	01	10	10		West .
2	Communication Skill	9	8	01	8	01	10	Q	B		
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	8	9	8	9	8	a	9		
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	9	01	10	q	9	10	P		
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	8	8	9	8	8	9	9		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	a	10	9	8	ď	to	9	8		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	10	9	8	OL	10	9	8	1	
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	8	9	10	8	9	10	9		
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	<b>(400)</b>	9	8	10	9	8	9	≀o		
10	Overall rating	10	(0	10	10	හ	03	10	to		
	TOTAL	91	90	90	91	90	92	94	90		

Date: 30/7/2022.

#### KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

#### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

	ACADEMIC Y	EAR 2022- 2023
Department: Phys	ics	Semester/Year: 2022 - 2
Please rate on the follow	wing attributes using the	10 -point scale shown
10	9 8 7 - 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	Very Good Good Satisfacto	ry Below threshold
Name of the Teachers:		VI
ті <i>Ді.м. П</i>	malanathan	T2 Dr. Marshan Robert
T3 Dx: B: A	Hony Domnic	stop T6 Mr. V. Beena
T5 Dr. T:	R. Jeena	T6 Mr. V. Beena
T7 Dr. 8.	Mureigavel.	T8 Mr. 7. Bahaya Ray
Т9		T10
THE STATE OF THE S	action to the Company to the Action is because experienced from the	arrange at the second of the s

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	<b>T4</b>	<b>T5</b>	<b>T6</b>	17	<b>T8</b>	Т9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	8	co	60	0	8	8	co	10	£.	
2	Communication Skill	9	8	9	9	CO	9	0	(0		
3	Commitment of the teacher	9	9	9	9	8	9	8	8		
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	60	9	9	8	9	9	9		
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	8	8	lo	9	9	.8	8	9		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	8	8	CO	8	9	8	(0	10		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	8	10	8	8	8	10	9		
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	10	9	8	8	9	8	10		
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	60	(0	8	8	9	8	9		
10	Overall rating	7	60	co	10	co	9	10	9		-
	TOTAL	84	91	92	88	88	86	91	93		

Date: 30/07/2002

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

#### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

#### **ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023**

Department: Physics	Semester/Year: 2022
Please rate on the following attributes using the	10 -point scale shown
10 9 8 7 6	
Very Good Good Satisfactory	y Below threshold
	T2 Dr. Marchan Robert
T3 Dr. S. Antony Dominic Christofe	T4 Dr. 8.S. Bidhu
TS Dr. T.R. Jeena Christope	To Mr. V. Beena
To Dr. 8. Muzugavel	T8 Mr. F. Obayaray
Т9	T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	<b>T2</b>	Т3	<b>T4</b>	<b>T5</b> .	Т6	<b>T7</b>	Т8	Т9	<b>T10</b>
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	8	10	6	7	6	9	10		
2	Communication Skill	8	7	10	7	7	5	8	10		
3	Commitment of the teacher	9	10	10	6	6	7	9	10		
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10	7	6	5	8	10		
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	7	8	6	9	10		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	8	8	10	7	7	7	8	10		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	8	10	G	6	6	9	10		-1
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	10	6	7	7	8	10		
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	8	10	7	٦	6	9	10		
10	Overall rating	9	9	10	7	7	7	8	01		
	TOTAL	90	87	100	66	61	62	85	100	5	

Date: 36/07/2022



INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

#### **ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023**

ACADEIVIIC TEAR 20	)ZZ- ZUZJ
Department: Physics	Semester/Year: 2082 - 8083
Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -poin	t scale shown
10 9 8 7 6 5 4	3 2 1 0
Very Good Cood Satisfactory	Below threshold
Name of the Teachers:  T1 Dr. M. Amalanathan T2.D.	r Marshan Robert.
T3 Dr. S. Antany Domnic Christory. D. To R. Jeena To A	v. S.S. Bidhu
To Dr. T. R. Jeena To A	lxsV. Boena
TO DO S. MURUGAYOL TO M.	v. 7. sahaya Raj
Т9 Т10	

S. No.	Attributes	T1	<b>T2</b>	<b>T3</b>	<b>T4</b>	<b>T5</b>	<b>T</b> 6	<b>T7</b>	<b>T8</b>	<b>T9</b>	<b>T10</b>
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	8	8	8	9	0	0	10	Ø		
2	Communication Skill	9	9	10	8	9	8	8	10		
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	6	0	8	8	C	9	03		
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	8	(0	8	1	8	9	0)		
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	8	9	10	8	9	9	10		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	d	9	10	7	8	8	(0		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	9	9	8	7	8	8	0)		
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	g	9	8	9	9	7	7	0		
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	7	9	10	9	8	6	7	0)	3	
10	Overall rating	T	9	10	9	6	T	9	(0		
	TOTAL	91	88	93	88	83	82	74	100		

Date: 30 / 7 / 2022

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

		AC	ADEMIC	YEA	R 20	022-	202	23						
Dep	artment:	Physics						Sem	ester	·/Yea	r: & (	ე & ය	7 – බ	0ව3
Pleas	se rate on th	e following attrib	utes using th	ne 10	-poin	t scal	e sho	wn	4					
		10 9 8	7 6	5	4	3	2	1	0					
Nam	e of the Tea		Good Satisfac	tory		Below	thresh	old						
		M.Amala												
	T3 Dr.	os Aptony TR. Joo	Domoic	T4	اا	D8.2	S::S		idh	<u>u</u>				
	T5	·TR. Joo	Da	topuo Te	5	Mr	-7	::B	00	<u> </u>				
	T7	r.s.Murc	lgavel	· T8	3	M	Ø : . :	1.5	aho	şcp.	Raj			
			•		0					<u> </u>	<i>ن</i>			
S. No.		Attributes		T1	T2	Т3	<b>T4</b>	10000	相談後後	<b>T7</b>	<b>T8</b>	Т9	T10	
1	Knowledg	e base of the teache	r	9	9	10	6	6	6	9	10			
2	Communic	cation Skill		S.	lo	10	9	6	4	a	10			

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	Т3	<b>T4</b>	<b>T5</b>	<b>T6</b>	<b>T7</b>	<b>T8</b>	Т9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	9	10	6	6	6	9	10	1203941	
2	Communication Skill	8.	lo	10	9	6	4	R	10		
3	Commitment of the teacher	to	9	10	6	7	R	9	10		
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	10	lo	9	7	7.	8	10		
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	8	9.	to	d	8	7	P	10		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	q	lo	10	7	8	6	a	10		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	to	gi	10	E	9	7.	8	10		
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	Q	to	10	8	9	E	9	10		
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	8	9	to	9	7	7	9	10		
10	Overall rating	10	6	10	6	8	8	. 8	10		
	TOTAL	90	ঀৼ	100	41	76	11	85	100.		7

Date: 30 7 202 7

#### **DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS**

#### FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM BY TEACHERS (2022-2023)

The feedback was collected from the students on the following parameters.

- ➤ 90% of the teachers strongly agree with the learning objectives are clear for each course.
- > 95% of the students strongly agree with the syllabus is well updated and suitable to course.
- > 98% of the teachers told that the prescribed textbooks and reference books are available.
- > 82% of the teachers agree with the syllabus meets the requirements of industries.
- > 95% of the teachers said that the contact hours allotted for each unit is sufficient.
- > 98% of the teachers said that the syllabus has enough practical/project components.
- > 98% of the teachers said that the syllabus has been completed on time.

#### FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM BY TEACHERS



- teachers strongly agree with the learning objectives are clear for each course.
- strongly agree with the syllabus is well updated and suitable to course
- teachers say that the prescribed text books and reference books are available.
- teachers agree with the syllabus meets the requirements of industries
- teachers say that the contact hours allotted for each unit is sufficient
- teachers say that the syllabus has sufficient number of practical/project components.
- teachers say that the syllabus has to complete on time

Head

Department of Physics.
Nanjii Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153 Tamil Nadii

PRINCIPAL
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

# NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE KALIYAKKAVILAI DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS TEACHERS FEEDBACK (2022-2023)

#### **ACTION TAKEN REPORT**

In the academic year 2022-2023, we collected feedback about syllabus from the teachers. They reviewed the syllabus and gave some suggestions that the syllabus should meet the requirements of the industries and sufficient reference books should be purchased for the syllabus. Based on their feedback the learning objectives should be updated. Based on their suggestions, a report was sent to the Board of Studies. Department of Physics. M.S.University,

Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153.

Tirunelveli.

Head

Department of Physics.
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilar 629 153 Tamil Nadii

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

#### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

#### **ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department:	Chem	istry	
-------------	------	-------	--

Semester/Year: Even / 2023-23

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown

10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	- 0
					S 3					
										•
	1	1		1						
١	/erv Go	ood C	ood S	Satisfact	orv		Below t	hreshol	ld	

Name of the Teachers:

TID. R. Murali	T2Dr. T. Alwin
T3A.C. Agatha Christie	
TSNo Shirley Navis	Todik. Priya
TTAM Mary Sheeba	T8
Т9	T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	Т3	T4	T5	Т6	<b>T7</b>	T8	Т9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	8	7	9	9	7	9			
2	Communication Skill	7	8	7	9	9	7	9			
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	7	8	8	9	7	q			
4	Interest generated by the teacher	7	7	6	6	7	7	8			
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	6	7	8	9	9	q			
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	8	8	6	6	8	8	9			
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	7	8	6	6	8	8	9			
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	8	7	7	8	9	8	9			
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	5	8	8	9	8	9			
10	Overall rating	8	7	7	8	9	8	9			
	TOTAL	80	64	69	74	86	75	89			

Date: 9.03.2023

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

#### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023
Department: CHEMISTRY  Semester/Year: 2 49
Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown
Very Good Good Satisfactory Below threshold
Name of the Teachers:
TIDV: Agatha T2 Mvs. Sherly T3 Mvs. Lissy T4 Dr. Priya T5 Dr. Murali T6 Dr. Alwin
T3Mrs:Lissy T4 Dr. Priya T5 Dr. Murali T6 Dr. Alwin
TS Dr. Murali To Dr. Alwin
To Dr. Marry Sheeba T8

T9 ......

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	Т3	T4	<b>T5</b>	Т6	<b>T7</b>	Т8	Т9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	8	8	8	チ	9	7	8			
2	Communication Skill	8	8	8	J	8	7	8			
3	Commitment of the teacher	9	8	8	子	10	6	9			
4	Interest generated by the teacher	7	7	8	5	10	5	9			
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	·8	8	6	10	5	9			
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	7	10	8	6	10	8	9			
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	9	9	8	10	8	8			
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	8	9	B	10	7	8			
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	ID	9	8	10	8	9			
10	Overall rating	8	8.5	8.5	6	10	子	8.5			
	. TOTAL	8	8.5	85	6	10	7	8.5			

Student Signature

Date: 9 - 03 - 2023

#### KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

### **ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department: Chemistry	Semester/Year: Even
Please rate on the following attributes using the	e 10 -point scale shown
10 9 8 7 6  Very Good Good Satisfact	ory Below threshold
Name of the Teachers:  T1 Dr. Agatha	T2 Mrs Sherly T4 Do Poriya. T6 Do Alwin
T3 Mrs Lissy	T4 Do Priga
T5 Do Mural	To Do-Alwin
TT Dr. Marry Sheeba	T8
Т9	T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	Т3	T4	T5	<b>T6</b>	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	8	8	8	7	9	7	8			
2	Communication Skill	8	8	8	7	8	7	8			
3	Commitment of the teacher	a	8	8	1	10	6	9			
4	Interest generated by the teacher	-1	7	8	5	10	5	9			
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	a	8	8	6	10	5	9			
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	7	10	8	6	to	8	9			
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	a	9	9	8	lo	8	8			
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	8	9	6	lo	ત	8			
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	0)	10	9	8	10	8	9			
10	Overall rating	8	8.5	8.5	6	10	7	85			
	TOTAL	8	6.5	8.5	6	10	7	8-9	-		

Date: 09-03 -23

#### NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE KALIYAKKAVILAI DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS: 2022-2023

In the department of chemistry 7 staff worked in the year 2022-2023. All the students of chemistry department told that the teachers always prepared for the classes. They covered the entire syllabus as prescribed by the university. Teachers were managed and motivated the students to study regularly. All were creative in developing activities and lessons. They encouraged the students to speak up and be active in the class. Teachers were good in technical content, communication skills and using of non print teaching aids. Teachers are always respected the opinions and decisions of the students. Their words and actions were seemed to be matched. Their voice was audible and consistent and fair in discipline. They helped the students when they ask for help. The students trusted the teachers. From the report the overall values of 10 questions, the value is less for Dr.Priya Rajini. This was informed to Dr.Priya Rajini, and suggestions also given. She accepted.

From the report of UG chemistry students feedback on teachers overall values are shown in the graph. When comparing the overall values of 1 question, Dr.Alwin Mrs.Shirly, and Dr.Mary Sheeba got high value and Dr.Agatha got low value. For the second question Dr.Murali, Dr.Agatha, and Mrs.Lisy got high value and Dr.Priya got low value. For the third question Dr.Mary Sheeba got high value and Dr.Murali got low value. For the fifth question Mrs.Shirly got high value and Dr.Murali got low value. For the fifth question Mrs.Shirly got high value and Mrs.Lisy got low value. For the sixth question Dr.Mary Sheeba got high value and Mrs.Lisy got low value. For the eighth question Dr.Mary Sheeba got high value and Mrs.Lisy got low value. For the eighth question Mrs.Shirly and Dr.Alwin got high value and Dr.Agatha got low value. For the nineth question Mrs.Lisy got high value and Dr.Priya got low value. For the tenth question Dr.Mary Sheeba , and Mrs.Shirly got high value and Dr. Murali got low value. Staff those who got low values are instructed to improve them self. They all accepted.

Head

Department of Chemistry

Catholic College of Arts & Science

Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamii Nadu.

PRINCIPAL
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

RIMENTO

#### NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE KALIYAKKAVILAI DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS: 2022 -2023

#### ACTION TAKEN REPORT

In the academic year 2022 -2023, when comparing the overall values from 1<sup>st</sup> question to 10<sup>th</sup> question, all got almost high value. Staff those who got low values were instructed to improve good rapport between them and the students. The teachers were also asked to explain what is expected on assignments and test. The students expected the teachers to encourage them to refer books and articles, motivate them to study regularly and to improve their communication skills. The teachers were instructed to use more modern teaching aids, handouts, PPT and good rapport between them and students. The teachers accepted to improve themselves.

Department of Chemistry
Nanjil Catholic Couege of Ans & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 829 153, Tamil Nadu.

PRINCIPAL
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TE ACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023
Department: Computer Science Semester/Year: 2 JB · Sc
Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale hown
Very Good Good Satisfactory  Below the shold
Name of the Teachers:
TI Dr. Suja Mary D Dr. Seema Mole N
T3MYS Abila T TDr. Thomas Robinson L
TSDY Marshan Robert H. MMSChristobel Marlin Mahil R
T7 T8
T10

S. No.	Attributes	71	T2	Т3	' 4	Г5	Т6	Т7	Т8	Т9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	to	10	10	10	10				1
2	Communication Skill	10	10	9	10	9	9			V	
3 .	Commitment of the teacher	9	9	a	10	9	9			1	
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10.	10	IŎ	10	10	10				=7.
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	9	10	to	10	10				V.
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	lo	10	9	10	9	10	E > *		-	
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10	10	10	10				
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	0)	10	lo	10				./
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	0	10	10	10	10				V
10	Overall rating	9	10	10	10	lo	10			01	
	TOTAL	98	98	81	1000	97	98				

Date: 3/3/2023

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE C LLL

### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TE ACHERS

#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2022

ACADEIVIIC TEAR 2022-2023
Department: Computer Science Semester/Year: Il Is. Sc
Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale how n
Name of the Teachers:
TIDY Sija Mary TDV Seema Mole TIMA Akila TDV Thomas Robinson TDV Marshan Robert Mrachristobal Medin Mahila
T7 T8

T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	Т1	T2	Т3	4	15	Т6	Т7	Т8	Т9.	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	0	10	0	co	00	10				
2	Communication Skill	10	00	00	to	00	0				
3 .	Commitment of the teacher	10	03	0	10	9	10				
4 .	Interest generated by the teacher	9	10	(0	00	10	0				
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	9	10	9	q	co				
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	9	0	0	10	co	t	Δ		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	10	CO	9	(0	CO			8	
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	9	9	9	00	a				
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	0	00	9	10	9				
10	Overall rating	9	ca	19	10	9	(0)				
	TOTAL	97	91	98	96	97	98				

Date: 3/8/2023

Abhirith Student Signature

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

# STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TE ACHERS

## ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: Computer Science	Semester/Year: 3/TB.Sc
Please rate on the following attributes using the	10 -point scale hown
	5 4 3 2 1 0
Very Good Good Satisfactor	y Below the shold
Name of the Teachers:	
TI DY Adlin Jeba	T2 Dr. Shexine
T3 Mrs. Bullah Benslet	T4 Mrs Ahila . T
TSTAS Suja Meslin	TO DY Thomas Robinson
Т7	Т8
TO	mi o

S. No.	Attributes	Т1	Т2	Т3	4	Г5	Т6	Т7	Т8	Т9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	9	10	8	8	10				
2	Communication Skill	9	10	9	8	8	9				
3 .	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	9	9	10				
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	9	10	9	10	10				
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	q	9	10	8	9	10			4 .	
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	8	10	10	8	8	10	En lagra .	y .		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	9	10	9	9	q				
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	8	q	9	10	10				
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	8	10	8	8	10				
10	Overall rating	10	9	9	8	8	9				
	TOTAL	93	91	97	84	87	97	*			

Date: 3/3/2023

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TE ACHERS

ACADEIVIIC YEAR 20 88- 20 83
Department: Computer Science Semester/Year: 3/IIB.SC
Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale hov n
Very Good Good Satisfactory Below the shold
Name of the Teachers:
TIDY: Adlin Jeba T2DY: Sherine
T3 Ms. Buelah Benslet T4 Mrs. Ahila 7
TSMIS Suja Mexilia Tor Thomas Rebinson
TO

S. No.	Attributes	Т1	Т2	Т3	4	T5	Т6	<b>T</b> 7	Т8	Т9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	9	10	8	8	10				
2	Communication Skill	9	10	9	8	8	9				2 X X
3 .	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	9	9	10				
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	9	10	9	10	10				
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	9	10	8	9	10		•	* .	
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	8	10	10	8	8	10	A. 201			10
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	9	10	9	9	9				
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	8	9	9	10	10				
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	8	10	8	8	10				
10	Overall rating	10	9	9	8	8	9				
	TOTAL	93	91	97	84	87	97				

Date: 3/3/2023

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TE ACHERS

## ACADEMIC YEAR 20% - 20%3

Department: Computer Science	Semester/Year: III Year
Please rate on the following attributes using the	e 10 -point scale hown Opp Somestor
	5 4 3 2 1 0
Very Good Good Satisfactor Name of the Teachers:	ory Below the shold
TI A.Thomas Robinson	T2 MaAbhilash
T3DC Incy	TMBaja Mozlin
Tsonogia Mary	
Т7	T8
TO	TTT A

S. No.	Attributes	71	T2	Т3	4	Г5	Т6	Т7	Т8	Т9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
2	Communication Skill	10	10	to	10	10	2 4				
3 ,	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10	10				Ñ a	·
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	10	10	10		f. 41.	2		0 a
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10	10	10					
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10	10	10					
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	.10	10	10	10					
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10	10		1. a 3.	= 6		
	TOTAL	100	(00)	100	100	100					

Date: 3/3/2023

Staphy
Student Signature

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TE ACHERS

	ACADEMIC	C YEA	AR 202	2 - 20	123						
Department: Com	puterscience				Sem	ester	/Yea	r: I	M.	se	,
	owing attributes using t	he 10	4 3	ile ho		0	0_	DD	Se	se mes	te.
Name of the Teachers  Mrs. Seya  Dr. Cincy  Mrs. Abhila	Mealin sh Sam Paulsti	T2 T4				,					
Mys Afrila	Masy	Т8									
e e	ttributes	T1	T2 T3		Г5	Т6	Т7	Т8	T9	T10	
1 Knowledge base	of the teacher	8	9		9		٩		9		
2 Communication S	Skill	9	9		9		10		8	A & A	

S. No.	Attributes	Т1	Т2	Т3	4	Г5	Т6	Т7	Т8	Т9	Т10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	8		9		9		9		9	
2	Communication Skill	9		9		9		10		8	A & A
3 .	Commitment of the teacher	10		10		9		10		9	
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8		8		8		9		10	
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	of	50	10.		an.		10		9	
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9		10	-	10		10		10	
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9		9		g		10		9	
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	100	to		10		10		10	
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	w		10		10		10		10	
10	Overall rating	8		10		9		10		8	
	TOTAL	90		95		92		98		92	

Date: 3/3/2023


Johlla Student Signature

## Department of Computer Science Students Feedback on Teachers Report 2022 – 2023 (ODD Semester)


#### INTRODUCTION:

Feedback is a key element of the incremental process of ongoing learning and assessment. Providing frequent and ongoing feedback is a significant means of improving achievement in learning. It involves the provision of information about aspects of understanding and performance and can be given by practitioners, peers, oneself and from learners to practitioners. Effective feedback assists the learner to reflect on their learning and their learning strategies so they can make adjustments to make better progress in their learning. It is essential to know the strengths of teachers and those aspects of their practice which could be further developed.


From this perspective, the institution of teacher evaluation is a vital step in the drive to improve the effectiveness of teaching and learning and raise educational standards. Meaningful teacher evaluation involves an accurate appraisal of the effectiveness of teaching, its strengths and areas for development, followed by feedback, coaching, support and opportunities for professional development. It is also essential to celebrate, recognize and reward the work of teachers.




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	9
Communication skill	9
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	9
Time management	9
Overall rating	9




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	8
Communication skill	9
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	8
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	10
Time management	9
Overall rating	9




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	9
Time management	10
Overall rating	9




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	10
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	10
Time management	10
Overall rating	9




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	10
Time management	10
Overall rating	9




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	9
Commitment of the teacher	10
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	9
Time management	10
Overall rating	9




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	9
Communication skill	9
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	9
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	10
Time management	8
Overall rating	9




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	10
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	9
Time management	10
Overall rating	10



ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	9
Time management	10
Overall rating	10



ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	9
Communication skill	9
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	8
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	10
Time management	9
Overall rating	10



ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	9
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	8
Time management	8
Overall rating	9

#### Conclusion:

With the appropriate framework for support and feedback, teachers will be able to make continual improvements in their practice and continue to ensure that every student has access to a great education. The staff those who need improvement are requested to deliver the course efficiency after well preparation.



Head of the Department

Head, Department of Computer Science Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science Nedumcode, Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

4. sommetin

PRINCIPAL
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

## INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

### ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 2023

Department: Computer Science Semester/Year: 2nd / 2
Please rate on the following attributes using the 10-point scale hown
Very Good Good Satisfactory Below the shold  Name of the Teachers:
TMSheema mal T2 AKSuja Mary
Truck nistabell mentin matital transmas Robinson
TSMAbhilash Sam pawlstinte
T7 T8

S. No-	Attributes	Ţī	Т2	Ť.	4.	T5	Т6	Т7	T8	т9.	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	CO	ġ	10	9	8	and the second				
2	Communication Skill	9	9	9	9	9	-				
3 .	Commitment of the teacher	8	8	9	8	8					
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	9	8	9	8	1				
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	8	9	9	9	9				-	
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	5	0	10	8.	4				. P	
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	8	9	9	8					
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	8	9	8	9	9					
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	9	9	8	9					
10	Overall rating	8	9	10	8	9					
	TOTAL	89	89	91	86	84					

Date: 3/5/2023

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023

Department: Computer Beience Semester/Year: V Sem Ty	2 (
Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale how n	_
Very Good Good Satisfactory Below the short	
Name of the Teachers:	
TI Dr. Gney W. C T2 Mrs. Ahila	
TI Dr. Gney W. C. T2 Mrs. Ahila T3 Mrs. Suja merlin T4N&Beulch Benslet	
TS Thomas Dr. Thomas Robinar	
T7 T8	

S. No.	Attributes	111	112	T		<b>i</b> 5.	1865	<b>T</b> 7	T8.	Т9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	(0)	6	(0	(0	Co					
2	Communication Skill	6	10	(0)	10	10					
3 '	Commitment of the teacher	Co	10	(1)	10	10				and Company	
4 .	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10		10					
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10	10				g # 0	
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	. (0	10	10	10	10			1	ē,	
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10	10	170					
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	In		10	10	10					
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10					
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10	10		- 1 S			
	TOTAL	00	(00)	(00	100	(क					

Date: 3/5/2023

## NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

### KALIYAKKAVILAI

## INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

## **ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023**

Dep	partment: computer science Semester/Year: Dsem W yea
Pleas	se rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale how n
	Very Good Good Satisfactory Below the shold
Nam	e of the Teachers:
	TI Dr. L. Thomas Robinson T2 Dr. W. C. Cincy
	T3 Mrs. Berla Benslets: 5 T4 Mrs. Syla Mexlin.
	TS MAS. Abila T6
	T7 T8
	T9 T10
S. No.	T1 T2 T3 '4 T5 T6 T7 T8 T9 T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (0 10 10 10 10
2	Communication Skill (D   D   D   D   D   D   D   D   D   D
2 .	

Nos	Amabutos	11:1	112	iÇ.	14	T5	116	Т7	Т8	Т9 :	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	ŧΠ					
2	Communication Skill	10		in	(0)	geres and second differen	144.00				2 30
3 .	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	in	10					
4 .	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10	10	(0)					
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	In	10	10	10	(n)					
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	10	10	10		£ 117.			
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	In	10	in	10	16					
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	(0)	lo		10	10					
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10		10	I.D	10					
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10	lb					
	TOTAL	100	100	1.00	100	10	) :				

Date: 3/5/2023

## INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 20೩೨ -	<b>20</b> 23
Department: Computer Sience	Semester/Year: NI - III Year
Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale	hown
10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3	2 1 0
	Section 1 and 1 an
Very Good Good Satisfactory Below th	eshold
Name of the Teachers:	
TI Dr. L. Thomas Robinson T2 Dr. 1	M.C. Cipcy
T3 Mrs. Roula Ropslot T4 Mrs.	Suia Marlin V

	the first garden and the contraction of the contrac	AT
Т5	Mrs. Abila.	Т6
Т7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Т8

T9 ...... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	711	112	TB	1 4	T5.	116	Т7	Т8	19	Т10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	100	10	10	10	10					
2	Communication Skill	10	Ю	10	10	w					
3 '	Commitment of the teacher	10	w	to	10	W					
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10	w	10					
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	w	Ю	W				18 9	
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	to	03	10	6	w					
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	00	10	LO					
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	Ю	ю	10	Ю	co.					
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	w	w	Ю	ш	Ю				7	
10	Overall rating	w	10	w	w	Ю		- 5			
	TOTAL	100	100	100	100	100			-		

Date: 3/5/2023

## NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

#### KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

## ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department:	Computer	Science	Semester/Year: Visamil ye	.Co
Please rate on t	he following attribut	es using the 10 -point scal	le hown	
	10 9 8	7 6 5 4 3	2 1 0	
		ood Satisfactory Below	v theshold	
Name of the Te	Pullium and resource and a first			
TI D	L. Thomas	Robinson T2. Dr.:. 1	W.C. Cincy	
тз .Мл.	s Beula Bon	alet: S.S T4. Mxs	Scija Martin V	
ть .Мл.	s. Ahila	Т6		
Т7		Т8		
Т9		T10		

S. No.	Attributes	111	Т2	T435	1 4	15	116	Т7	Т8	Т9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	ro	10	10	10					
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10	9					
3 '	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	to	10	10					
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	#2.1 1.1				
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10	8			2 4		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	10	10	5					
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10	100	10					
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10	10	10					
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	9	9	9	8	, ·				
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10	10	-				
	TOTAL	100	99	99	99	90					


Date: 3/5/2013

## Department of Computer Science Students Feedback on Teachers Report 2022 – 2023 (EVEN Semester)


#### INTRODUCTION:

Feedback on teachers is an important component of advancing the teaching practice. Getting feedback from the students during the term can be a good way to find out how things are going, to give a students a chance to express their feelings in a medium other than the end-of-course student evaluations, and to allow any changes in teaching to be made while students can still benefit. It involves the provision of information about aspects of understanding and performance and can be given by practitioners, peers, oneself and from learners to practitioners. Effective feedback assists the learner to reflect on their learning and their learning strategies so they can make adjustments to make better progress in their learning. It is essential to know the strengths of teachers and those aspects of their practice which could be further developed.


From this perspective, the institution of teacher evaluation is a vital step in the drive to improve the effectiveness of teaching and learning and raise educational standards. Meaningful teacher evaluation involves an accurate appraisal of the effectiveness of teaching, its strengths and areas for development, followed by feedback, coaching, support and opportunities for professional development. It is also essential to celebrate, recognize and reward the work of teachers.




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	9
Communication skill	9
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	9
Time management	9
Overall rating	9




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	8
Communication skill	9
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	8
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	10
Time management	9
Overall rating	9




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	9
Time management	10
Overall rating	9




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	10
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	10
Time management	10
Overall rating	9




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	10
Time management	10
Overall rating	9




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	9
Commitment of the teacher	10
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	9
Time management	10
Overall rating	9




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	9
Communication skill	9
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	9
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	10
Time management	8
Overall rating	9




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	10
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	9
Time management	10
Overall rating	10



ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	9
Time management	10
Overall rating	10



ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	9
Communication skill	9
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	8
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	10
Time management	9
Overall rating	10



ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	9
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	8
Time management	8
Overall rating	9

#### Conclusion:

With the appropriate framework for support and feedback, teachers will be able to make continual improvements in their practice and continue to ensure that every student has access to a great education. The staff those who need improvement are requested to deliver the course efficiency after well preparation.



Head of the Department

Head, Department of Computer Science Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science Nedumcode, Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

4. Sommeter

PRINCIPAL
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

# Academic Year 2022-2023 Student Feedback on Teachers

#### **Action Taken Report**

The students are given the feedback on subject teachers for regular conducting of classes. Based on the student's feedback the teacher were advised to complete their syllabi well in time. They were also directed to organise student centric activities and help students in preparation of their exams through presentation, class tests and assignments. Teachers were motivated to attend orientation/refresher and short term courses to enhance their capabilities.

Kaliyakkavilai 629 153

Kaliyakkavilai 629 153

Head, Department of Computer Science Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science Nedumcede, Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

PRINCIPAL
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

## ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 2023

Department: Loology	Semester/Year:
Please rate on the following attributes using the	10 -point scale shown 5 4 3 2 1 0
Very Good Good Satisfacto  Name of the Teachers:	ry Below threshold
TI Sini Hargret	T2 Ajitha Mol
T3 Mnutha Rani	T4. Theresida Mary
T5	Т6
T7	T8
Т9	T10

S. No.	Attributes	<b>T1</b>	T2	Т3	T4	<b>T5</b>	<b>T6</b>	<b>T7</b>	T8	Т9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
2	Communication Skill	to	10	10	10						
3	Commitment of the teacher	9	9	9	9						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	9	9	9						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	9	9	9						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	8	8	8						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	q	9						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	lo	lo						
10	Overall rating	10	10	LO	10						
	TOTAL	94	94	94	94						

Date: 15.3.2023

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

## **ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023**

Department: Zoology				5	Seme	ester/	Year	:		
Please rate on the following attributes using the	: 10 -1	oint	scale	show	/n	ø				
			m 68		m 1 (6)	200				
Very Good Good Satisfactor  Name of the Teachers:	ory		selow t	hresho	ia					
TI Ajitha Mol	T2	5	sini.	M	ana	ret.				
T1 Ajitha Mol T3 Theresita Mary	T4		Sac	nut	Д	Rc	mi.			
T5										
T7	T8		• • • • • • •							
Т9	T10	)								
S. Attributes	<b>T1</b>	T2	Т3	T4	<b>T</b> 5	<b>T6</b>	<b>T7</b>	Т8	Т9	T10
1 Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
2 Communication Skill	10	10	10	10						
3 Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
4 Interest generated by the teacher	9	9	9	9						
The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10						
6 Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	9	9	9						
7 Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	8	8	8						
8 The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	9	9						
Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	9	9	9						
10 Overall rating	-	,	_					i i		
TOTAL	94	94	qu	96						

Date: 15 . 03 . 2023

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

### **ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Depa	Semester/Year: IV										
Name	Very Good Good Satisfact  of the Teachers:  T1	fory		Below	thresho	l l	0				
	T3 Sin Margaret	T4	1	lm.	tha	R	mi				
	T5										
	T7	Т8									
	Т9	T10	)							į	
S. No.	Attributes	Tı	T2	Т3	T4	T5	<b>T6</b>	<b>T7</b>	Т8	Т9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10						
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	8	8	8						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	q	9	9	9						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	9	9	9						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	8	8	8						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	8	8	8	8						
0	Time Management in the completion of		900.000								

10 10 10 18

92 92 92 92

**TOTAL** 

Student Signature

Date: 15 .3.23

syllabus

Overall rating

10

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

#### **ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Semester/Year: \√

Please	rate on the following attributes using th	e 10 -	point	scale	shov	vn					
	10 9 8 7 6	5	S	3	2	1	0				
										- 司	
	Very Good Good Satisfact	tory		Below	thresho	old					
	of the Teachers:  T1 Amutha Rani  T3 Theresita Mary	T2	d	Sini.	Ma	igse	ŧ				
	T3 heresita May	T4	/	AjiH	10,	Мc	ıl				
	T5	T6									
	T7										
S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	Т3	T4	<b>T5</b>	Т6	<b>T7</b>	Т8	Т9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	lo	10	10					i.	
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10						
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	8	8	8						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	8	8	8						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the	10	10	10	10						

9

8

10

(0)

93

TOTAL

8

10

01

99

8

10

10

93

8

10

10

93 93

Date: 15.03.23

6

7

8

9

10

Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts,

power point presentation etc.

Accessibility of the teacher in and

The evaluation process is fair and

Time Management in the completion of

out of the class

syllabus

Overall rating

Department:

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

#### **ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department: Zoology	Semester/Year: 111 V
Please rate on the following attributes using the	
10 9 8 1 6	3 1 1 2 1 9
<b>.</b>	
Very Good Good Satisfacto	ory Below threshold
Name of the Teachers:	
TI Amudha Rani	T2 Sini Margret
T3 Theresita Mary	T4 Ajtha mol
T5	T6
T7	T8
T9	T10

S. No.	Attributes	TI	T2	Т3	T4	<b>T5</b>	T6	17	T8	Т9	T10
١	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10						
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	8	8	8						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	8	8	8						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10							
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	7	7	7	7						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	8	8	8						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10	10						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10						
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10						
	TOTAL	94	94	94	96						

Date: 15 . 03 . 23

## DEPATMENT OF ZOOLOGY

#### 2022-2023

#### STUDENTS FEEDBACK REPORT

Students evaluate the teacher based on certain criteria like communication skill, commitment of the teacher, usage of modern teaching aids etc. They were satisfied with accessibility of the teacher in and outside class. The interest generated by the teachers in the subject captured the attention span of the students and encouraged those to be more involved in the learning process was indicated by an overwhelming of student community in the feedback.

Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Scie ice

Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

Department of Loomas Nanjii Camalic College of Arts a ... in Kailvakkavilai - 629 153. Tamii Nad

## DEPATMENT OF ZOOLOGY

#### 2022-2023

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ACTION TAKEN REPORT

- Cultural events and curricular events were planned to enrich the subject knowledge and other capabilities of the students.
- Timely dissemination of information regarding internal assessment and evaluation was ensured.
- · Faculty took efforts to improve the students who were falling behind.
- Communication skills and soft skill trainings were given.
- Career trainings were given to the students for pursuing higher education.
- ICT classes were used for effective teaching. Shift 2 program were provided in the campus.

RSmlv.

Department of Zoology
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kalivakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadi

DOINCIPAL

Vanill Catholic College of Arts & Scient

Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

### ACADEMIC YEAR 2011 - 2015

Department: Physical Education		Semester/Year:									
Pleas	e rate on the following attributes using the	10 -	point	scale	show	vn C	DEF	м	4.		
	10 9 8 7 6	5	4	3	2	1	0				
	Very Good Good Satisfacto	ory		Below	hresho	ld					
Name	e of the Teachers:						$\cap$	٨.			
	TIME. U. Ui Pa				Phe						
	TIMa. U. Vije. T3Da. K. Kishove Jani	T41	As. 8	8	. S.a.	aith	<u>C</u> ,				
	T5	T6									
	T7	T8									
	Т9	T10	)								
S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	Т3	T4	<b>T</b> 5	<b>T6</b>	<b>T7</b>	Т8	<b>T9</b>	T10
AUXIOUS MARK	Attributes  Knowledge base of the teacher	TI	T2		T4	T5	<b>T6</b>	T7	Т8	Т9	T10
No.						Т5	Т6	<b>T7</b>	Т8	Т9	T10
<b>No.</b>	Knowledge base of the teacher	lo	(0	10	10	T5	Т6	Т7	Т8	Т9	T10
No. 1 2	Knowledge base of the teacher  Communication Skill	10	10	10	10	Т5	T6	<b>T7</b>	Т8	Т9	T10
1 2 3	Knowledge base of the teacher  Communication Skill  Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10	T5	Т6	Т7	Т8	Т9	T10
1 2 3 4	Knowledge base of the teacher  Communication Skill  Commitment of the teacher  Interest generated by the teacher  The teacher come well prepared for the	10	10	9 9 10	10	T5	T6	17	Т8	Т9	T10
No. 1 2 3 4 5	Knowledge base of the teacher  Communication Skill  Commitment of the teacher  Interest generated by the teacher  The teacher come well prepared for the class.  Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts,	10 9 9 10	10 10	10 9 10 9	10 9 10 9	TS	Т6	17	18	Т9	T10
No. 1 2 3 4 5 6	Knowledge base of the teacher  Communication Skill  Commitment of the teacher  Interest generated by the teacher  The teacher come well prepared for the class.  Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.  Accessibility of the teacher in and	10 9 10 10	10 10	10 9 10 9	10 9 10 9	TS	Т6	17	18	Т9	T10
No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Knowledge base of the teacher  Communication Skill  Commitment of the teacher  Interest generated by the teacher  The teacher come well prepared for the class.  Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.  Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class  The evaluation process is fair and	10 10 10	10 10 10 9	10 9 10 9	10 9 10 10	TS	Т6	17	18	Т9	T10

**TOTAL** 

Date: 9/09/2022

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

#### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2013 - 2013

Dep	artment: Physical Education	0			;		ester/				
Pleas	e rate on the following attributes using	the 10 -	point	scale	shov	vn			,		
	10 9 8 7	5 5	4	3	2	I com	()				
	Very Good Good Satis	factory		Below	thresho	ld					
Name	e of the Teachers:										
	TIMEN. Uifa. TIMEN. Kishow Jani	T2	1.y.	Res	Ploe	sba	Ba	aclb	<u>u</u>		
	T3DK. Kishow Jani	T4	MrsS.	8.,	Sa	tia	ba:	5			
	T5	Т6									
	T7	Т8									
	Т9	T10	0								
S. No.	Attributes	TI	T2	Т3	T4	<b>T5</b>	T6	<b>T7</b>	<b>T8</b>	Т9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	(0	(0	10						
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10						
2	Commitment of the teacher			_							

S. No.	Attributes	TI	T2	T3	T4	T5	<b>T6</b>	<b>T7</b>	T8	Т9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	(0	(0	10						
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10						
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	9	9	9						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	9	10	10						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	(0	9	9	1	,				
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	(0	9	10						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	-9	10	9						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	9	9						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	9	9	10						
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10						
	TOTAL	91	96	95	94						

Date: 9/09/2022

Bhasalh. Student Signature

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

### ACADEMIC YEAR 2033 - 2033

Department: Physical Education	Semester/Year:
•	Quen Ellip
Please rate on the following attributes using the	e 10 -point scale shown
10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Very Good Good Satisfacto	ory Below threshold
Name of the Teachers:	
TIMI. V. Vifu.	T2Mr. Y. R. Does ba Beadha.
TIMI. V. Vifu. TIDI. K. Kishor / Japi	T4Ms-S-S Sapitha
T5	T6
T7	T8
Т9	T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	Т3	T4	<b>T5</b>	T6	<b>T7</b>	T8	Т9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	60	10	9	9						
2	Communication Skill	9	9	LO	9						
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	9	(0	to		100				
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	9	9						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	9	9	9						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	10	9	10						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	9	10						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	10	10	10						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	9	9						
10	Overall rating	10	9	9	9					10.00	
	TOTAL	96	96	93	94						

Date: 9/09/2022

Ajin · Cy Student Signature

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

## ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2025

Depar	tment: Physical Educatio	0			S			ear:		ed Ch	١.
	rate on the following attributes using the		oint s	scale	show		_	200		- 1	
	Very Good Good Satisfactor	5		3 Selow th	2		0				
	of the Teachers:	41	V.O	DI.	ash.	. D	) and	۲ <u>.</u>			
	Mr. J. Uju	124	M. P.	מוער.	62.KX	29	KIJC	W).CI			
Т	302.K. Kisboze Japi	T4N	beS	J. r.	y.or	ol:th	).C				
Т	<u>75</u>	T6									
Т	7	T8									
Т	79	T10	)								
							-	AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	<b>CERCIPIES</b>	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.	CHARLEST 6-0000000
S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	<b>T3</b>	<b>T4</b>	<b>T5</b>	<b>T6</b>	<b>T7</b>	T8	<b>T9</b>	T10
201000000000000000000000000000000000000	Attributes  Knowledge base of the teacher		T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	Т8	T9	T10
No.						T5	Т6	T7	Т8	Т9	T10
<b>No.</b> 1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	(0	10	T5	Т6	T7	Т8	Т9	T10
No. 1 2	Knowledge base of the teacher  Communication Skill  Commitment of the teacher  Interest generated by the teacher	.9	10	10	10	T5	Т6	T7	T8	Т9	T10
No. 1 2 3	Knowledge base of the teacher  Communication Skill  Commitment of the teacher  Interest generated by the teacher  The teacher come well prepared for the class.	.9 .9	10 to	10	10	T5	T6	17	T8	Т9	T10
1 2 3 4	Knowledge base of the teacher  Communication Skill  Commitment of the teacher  Interest generated by the teacher  The teacher come well prepared for the	10 10	10 10	10	10 9 10 10	TS	T6	T7	T8	Т9	T10
No. 1 2 3 4 5	Knowledge base of the teacher  Communication Skill  Commitment of the teacher  Interest generated by the teacher  The teacher come well prepared for the class.  Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.  Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10 9	10	10 9	10 9 10 10	T5	T6	Т7	Т8	Т9	T10
No. 1 2 3 4 5 6	Knowledge base of the teacher  Communication Skill  Commitment of the teacher  Interest generated by the teacher  The teacher come well prepared for the class.  Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.  Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class  The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10 9 10 10	10 10 10	10 9 9 9	10 9 10 10 9	T5	T6	Т7	Т8	Т9	T10
No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Knowledge base of the teacher  Communication Skill  Commitment of the teacher  Interest generated by the teacher  The teacher come well prepared for the class.  Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.  Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class  The evaluation process is fair and	10 9 00 10	10 10 10 10 9	10 9 10 9 9 9	10 9 10 10 9	TS	T6	T7	Т8	Т9	T10

96 93 95

TOTAL 97

Date: 9-9-2022

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2032 - 2035

Department: Physical Coloration	Semester/Year:
Please rate on the following attributes using the	Even Weg
Very Good Good Satisfacto	ry Below threshold
Name of the Teachers:	
TIME L. Vija.	T24.4R. Dhessa Bencha
TIDAK, Rishore Japi	T4 M.S. S. Sanitha.
T5	T6
T7	T8
T9	T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	Т3	T4	<b>T5</b>	<b>T6</b>	<b>T7</b>	T8	<b>T9</b>	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
2	Communication Skill	10	(0	(0	(0						
3	Commitment of the teacher	(0	a	(0	(0)						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	9	9	9						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	9	(0	9						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	to	to	10	-1-					
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	lo	g	9	10						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	a	9	10						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	7	9	9						
10	Overall rating	10	10	וט	10						
	TOTAL	97	94	96	97						

Date: 9/09/2022

#### **DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION**

**Academic year** 2022 – 2023

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS REPORT

Feed back is meeting is held on 15/03/2023 an essential process and is needed to improve the efficiency is internal quality. More than 85% of the student respondents rate the subject as good/very good, which is useful, and having scope for students initiatives. The students are highly satisfied about the course content, coverage of syllabus, staff students relationship, conduct the test, students are unsatisfied of source material 4% and clarity 2%, integration with research and extension. Students are unsatisfied of lab facilities, department library.

PRINCIPAL
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153.

C COLLEGE OF STATE OF

Head
Department of Physical Education
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
(Cityekkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu.

#### **DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION**

**Academic year** 2022 – 2023

#### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

#### **Action Take Report**

Introduced skill-based programme, relevant courses to meet the requirement of employability. Syllabus should be designed to inculcate ethical and human values, practical based courses, psychology to be introduced in the curriculum as a part of the subject of education, certificates courses for providing hands on training. Skill oriented and personality development programs were organised for all first year students. Few Teachers has been advised to handle classroom Teaching more effectively and advised to attend FDP regularly. Based on the advise Department instructed to Adopt innovative Teaching practices and methods for Effective Teaching and learning.

PRINCIPAL
Nanjii Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153.



V. 1/2

Head

Department of Physical Educatic
Nanjil Cathelic College of Arts & Scient
Hallyakkavilar - 629 153, Tamii Nadu

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

## ACADEMIC YEAR 202023

Department: BBA	Semester/Year: 1V

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown

10	9	8	7	6	5		-	3	2			1	0
		v			質 額	00000	5550	09/087	25:01	展	3860	2005	2000
_	/an/Co	1	ood '	Satisfac				_	thro	_		_	

Name of the Teachers:

TI Su Sujatha joice.	T2 Dr. br. Rajesh Bahu
T3 princilin	T4 Dr. Jashin Bino
TS Jayasheelan	To Subi Mol
T7 Sheela	T8
	T10

S. No.	Attributes	Ti	T2	Т3	T4	T5	<b>T6</b>	<b>T7</b>	T8	<b>T9</b>	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	1	8	9	8	8	8	7	-09690	SALL IN	PROM
2	Communication Skill	2	9	8	7	4	8	6			
3	Commitment of the teacher	1	7	7	6	6	10	8			
4	Interest generated by the teacher	2	8	8	5	8	9	9			
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	1	6	10	8	6	10	7			
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	2	8	8	7	7	10	6			
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	3	10	9	6	8	9	8			
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	1	7	7	7	7	8	7			
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	5	9	8	8	6	9	7			
10	Overall rating	1	8	9	7	8	10	7			
	TOTAL	22	80	83	69	71	92	72	_		

Date: 31/10/2022

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


#### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

#### ACADEMIC YEAR 204 2023

Department: B	BA
---------------	----

Semester/Year: 1 V

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

of the reachers.	
TI Sujatha Joice	T2 Dr. G. Rajerh Babu.
T3 Princilin	T4 Dr. Jashin Bina
T5 Jayasheelan	T6 Subi Mol.
T7Sheela	T8
Т9	T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	Т2	Т3	T4	T5	Т6	<b>T7</b>	Т8	Т9	T10
l	Knowledge base of the teacher	8	9	10	9	10	8	8			
2	Communication Skill	9	10	9	ક	9	9	8			
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	8	વ	9	9	9	8			
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	9	10	9	io	8	7			
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	8	9	9	10	10	8			
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	큐	10	7	lo	4	9	4			
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	.8	9	긕	8	7	9			
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	8	10	6	눠	9	8			
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	7	9	10	10	8	7	6			
10	Overall rating	٩	10	10	9.	to	9	8			
	TOTAL	85	89	91	86	88	85	75			

Date: 31/10/2022

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

#### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023

Department: Business Admin	18 tration Semester/Year: I year
Please rate on the following attributes using the	e 10 -point scale shown 2 nd Sem
10 9 8 7 6	
Name of the Teachers: T1 Dx. Surpa Mabeswas	1 T2 Mrs. Roshma Rafu
T3 Dr. P. Jaskin Bino	T4 Dr. Gt. Rajesh Baby
TS Mrs. Gr. 8. Bubs Mol	Т6
Т7	T8
Т9	T10

S. No.	Attributes	71	T2	<b>T3</b>	T4	T5	<b>T6</b>	17	T8	<b>T9</b>	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10	10					
3	Commitment of the teacher	9	8	9	9	9					
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	8	9	9	8					
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	9	9	9	10					
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	8	9	10	8	8					
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	7	7	9	9	7					
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	9	9	9					
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	10	10	9	9					
10	Overall rating	to	9	10	10	to					
	TOTAL	92	90	95	92	90					

Date: 31-10-2022

Student Standare

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

#### **ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023**

Department: Busine	285	A	dn	ioni	stra	atio	n		Semes	ter/Ye	ear: Tu	
Please rate on the followi	ng ati	ribut	es us	ing th	ne 10 -	point	scale	shov	vn	U	Dre	Sem
			İ			對製	192	2000	35 15 E			

Very Good Good Satisfactory

Name of the Teachers:

e of the reachers.	
TI Dr. Suma Maheswari	TZ Mers: Reshma Raju
T3 Dr. P. Jashin: Bino	T4 Dr. Gr. Rajesh Babu
	Т6
T7	T8
Т9	T10

Below threshold

S. No.	Attributes	Ti	T2	Т3	T4	T5	<b>T6</b>	17	T8	<b>T9</b>	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					3.
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10	9				. 1	
3	Commitment of the teacher	9	8	9	9	9					
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	9	9	9	9			1		
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	9	9	9	8					1.
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	5	10	9	9	9		1			
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	7	7	7	8	٦					
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	8	8	10	9					
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	9	9	10	9					
10	Overall rating	9	9	9	10	9					
	TOTAL	89	89	89	94	88					

Date: 31-10-2022


INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

#### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

#### **ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023**

Department: Business Administration Semester/Year: 2 sem/2'styea

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown




Name of the Teachers:

TI	Dr. Suma Maheswari	12 Mrs. Reshma Raju
		T4 Dr. G. Rajesh chalu
		Т6
T7		тв
79		T10

S. No.	Attributes	Ti	T2	тз	TI	75	Т6	17	<b>T8</b>	<b>T9</b>	T10
ı	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10		10000000	Canadah		
2	Communication Skill	10	10		2.0	10					
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	9	10	9	8					
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	9	9	9	9		- Constitution of the Cons	400000	-	
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	10	10	9	10					
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	8	7	1.0	7	10					
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	6	6	8	6	6					
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	8	7	7	4	6					
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10		10	9	9					
10	Overall rating	9	8	9	9	8				100000	-
	TOTAL	90	86	93	85	86					

Date: 31,10.2022

# NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE, KALIYAKKAVILAI DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023



CERT

Head

Jepartment of Business Administration
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu.



PRINCIPAL -Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153.

#### NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE KALIYAKKAVILAI DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION **ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023**

#### **ACTION TAKEN REPORT**

Based on the feedback collected from the students, the department took some actions that are listed below,

- 1. Power point presentation motivates students to take key points related with their study. Hence, number of PPT presentation per week was increased
- 2. Teachers evaluated the students based on the students learning skill to cope up with learning.
- 3. Teachers are motivated to use the college equipments in gathering information. They are asked to prepare more to cover all related concepts and topics about the subject.
- 4. Staff members are also encouraged to use innovative teaching methods like open classroom, interactive classrooms, group discussion etc.,

Head

department of Business Administration Nanjii Catholic College of Arts & Science Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamii Nadu.

Kaliyakkavilai 629 153

### INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

#### **ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department: Commerce

Semester/Year: II . 2022 - 23

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

TI Dr. K. Lucas T2 Dr. M. Sindhu

T3 Dr. N. Jeba Jasmine T4 Dr. R. Shaba Rani

TS Dr. Ct. Rajesh Babu To Dr. R. Jolly Rosalind Silva

T7 Dr. E. Sabaya Ugio Mary T8 Mrs. V. Vincy

T9 ...... T10 .....

S. No.	Attributes	T1	<b>T2</b>	T3	<b>T4</b>	<b>T5</b>	Т6	<b>T7</b>	Т8	Т9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		
2	Communication Skill	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	9		p **
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10	lΩ	10	10	10	O		
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	ક	10	9	9	8	9	9	8		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10	Q	10	10	0	10		
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	9	10	ĺρ	10	9	9	9		
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	10	10	10	O	10	10	9		
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10	0	9	9	9		
	TOTAL	96	99	99	99	98	97	97	94		

Date: 9-3-2023

Ally 9 Student Signature

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


### ACADEMIC YEAR 20%) - 2023

Department: Commerce, B. com

Semester/Year: 2022 - 2023

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown

4 semester



Name of the Teachers:

TI DY R Shoba Rani T2 Dx N. Jeba Jasmine

T3 .. Dr. k. Lucas T4 Dr. E. sahaya Ugin mory

T5 Mrs. N.R. Sheela T6 Mr. J. Jayaseelan

T7 Dr. R. Jolly Rosalind silva T8 Dr. A. Gradwin Prince

T9 Mrs. V. Vincy T10

S.		18 7.00	optable.	nable day	1. 62	appending.	- 10 Per	105.26 AM			
No.	Attributes	T1	T2	Т3	T4	T5	<b>T6</b>	T7.	<b>T8</b>	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	34 9
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	(0)	
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	9	(0	lo	9	10	9	9	10	
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	9	9	10	9	9	9	9	9	
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	10	9	9	10	10	10	9	9	
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10	ιο	10	9	10	9	
	TOTAL	97	98	98	99	98	99	97	97	97	

Date: 9-03- 2023

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


#### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

#### **ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023**

nmorco

Semester/Year: 1 2022-23

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

TI Dr.R. Shoba Rani T2 Dr. R. Jolly Rosalind Silva

T3 Dr. N.R. Sheela T4 Dr. G. Rajesh Babu

TS Dh. K. Lucas T6 V. Vincy

TI Dr. M. Sindhu T8 Dr. N. Jeba Jasmine

TO Dr. E. Sahaya Ugin Mary TIO

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	Т3	T4	T5	Т6	<b>T7</b>	<b>T8</b>	Т9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
2	Communication Skill	9	10	8	9	9	8	8	10	8	
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	10	9	9	10	9	9	10	8	
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10	10	10	w	10	9	
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	9	10	9	9	9	10	9	10	
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	9	10	10	10	10	10	9	
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
10	Overall rating	10	10	9	9	10	10	9	10	8	
.3	TOTAL	98	99	95	96	98	96	96	99	92	

Student Signature

Date: 09-03-2023

## NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

#### KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

#### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023

Department:	Commerce
-------------	----------

Semester/Year: IL 2022-23

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown

10	9	8	7	6		5	1		3	2	2		1	0
	MA				100	15/6		N.			150	の	100	21/2
			30			-								
	↓ ' C-	od Co		<b>1</b>		-		D - 1		thro	ماء	l al		

Name of the Teachers:

TI Dr. R. Shoba Rani T2 Dr. R. Jolly Rosalind Silva T3DN R. Shoola T4 Dr. G1. Rajosh Babu

TS Dr.k. Lucas T6 V. Vincy

Tr. Dr.M. Sindhu Tr. Dr. N. Jeba Jasmine

TODY: E. Sahaya Ugin Mary TIO

S. No.	Attributes	Ť1	T2	T3	<b>T4</b>	T5	<b>T6</b>	Т7	Т8	<b>T9</b>	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	(0	10	Alphy Riv 44 il
2	Communication Skill	9	8	8	9	9	9	9	10	8-	
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	to	10	10	10	10	10	10	
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	10	9	10	10	9	9	10	8	
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	lo	10	(0	10	10	lo	10	(0	9	
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	9	9	9	9	9	10	9	9	
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10	10	10	lo	10	to	9	
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	lo	10	lo	lo	10	lo	(0	(0	
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	lo	(0	lo	10	lo	10	10	(0	10	
10	Overall rating	9	9	9	9	10	10	9	10	8	
*= <sub>=</sub> .	TOTAL	97	96	95	97	98	97	97	99	91	

Date: 9-3-23

## NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

#### KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

### **ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department: B. Com		Semester/Year:	14/2022-23
Please rate on the following attributes us	ing the 10 -point scale sh	own	

10	9	8	7	6		5		1		3	- 2	2		1	0
			W Ha		192	是是	製造	2000	1997	LANS.	188	1000	100		要
	lery C	ood C	ood 9	attefact	00/				Bala	24/	thre	sho	ıd		

#### Name of the Teachers:

TIVlosy.V	T2 Jolly Rosalind Silva
T3Sindhu	T4 Vinil Kumar N
TS Jeha Jasmine	T6 Sheela: N
T7Lucas	T8
Т9	T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	Т3	<b>T4</b>	<b>T5</b>	Т6	<b>T7</b>	Т8	Т9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	9	9	10			
2	Communication Skill	9	10	9	8	9	8	10			
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	9	10	10	9	10			
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	9	9	9	10	10	9		11	
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	8	10	9	10	agreement or		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	10	9	10	9	8	8			
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	9	9	9	8	10			
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	9	8	9	9	9			
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	9	10	10	8	lo			
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	9	9	9	10			
	TOTAL	96	98	93	91	94	87	96		-	

Date: 09-03-2023

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS REPORT 2022-2023


#### INTRODUCTION:

The students are supposed to be the most important stakeholders of Higher Education systems. The interest and participation of students at all levels in both internal quality assurance and external quality assurance have to play a central role. Any Higher Education Institution needs to ensure that students have a voice at various decisions making processes, formulating learning and teaching practices and those views of students are to be considered as the primary evidence on which the quality of teaching and learning is evaluated. The Student Feedback Approach is basically about institutional practices, processes and frameworks that take into account students concerns of the quality of the education they receive. In each section, the report includes findings of the top tasks which candidates feel the most and least prepared in.


#### The main objectives of the feedback system are as follows:

- To provide the platform to the students in identifying a greater role in the teaching learning process.
- To help the faculty modification and improvement in the teaching methodology at the College.
- To develop a communication channel between the management and students.
- To maintain the functioning of the College system in the field of teaching learning process, library, sports, administration, etc at its level best.


ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	. 10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	. 10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	10
Time management	9
Overall rating	10




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	8
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	10
Time management	8
Overall rating	9




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	10
Time management	9
Overall rating	10




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	10
Time management	.9
Overall rating	10




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	10
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	. 9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	10
Time management	9
Overall rating	10




	200
ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	9
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	9
Time management	10
Overall rating	10




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	10
Time management	9
Overall rating	10



ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	. 8
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	10
Time management	8
Overall rating	9



ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	10
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	10
Time management	9
Overall rating	10



#### Conclusion:

The various graphs present the views expressed by present students in regard to their perception of quality in an educational institution. More than 75% students are satisfied with their teaching methodology of our college. The quality of education depended on the overall academic environment of the institution, a well-furnished and stocked library, Necessary computer facilities, and well-equipped labs are the infrastructural priorities. The quality education could come only if there were quality teachers who were good communicators. The output shows a very good environment of teaching at Nanjil College. The staff those who need improvement are requested to deliver the course efficiency after well preparation.

Report submitted by:

Head of the Departmen

Head
Department of Commerce
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science,
Kaliyakkayilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu.

4. Somment

PRINCIPAL
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

## Department of commerce Students Feedback on Teachers Action Report 2022-2023

Department of commerce after collect the student's feedback on teachers are carefully summarized and tabulated. With the appropriate framework for support and feedback, teachers will be able to make continual improvements in their practice and continue to ensure that every student has access to a great education. The staff those who need improvement are requested to deliver the course efficiency after well preparation.

Report submitted by:

CENCE - NATURAL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT

Di Santanta

PRINCIPAL
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkayilai - 629 153.

Head of the Department

Head

Department of Commerce
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science,
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu.

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 20న్నం - 20 ని3

Department: Occialwork							ester/	Year	: 111		
Nama	very Good Good Satisfact	ory		3 Below	thresho	old	0	,			
	TI Mary Pelset 8 T3 De 8. Donni T5	Т6		• • • • • •				jar		2	
	T9	T1	0								
S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	Т3	T4	T5	Т6	Т7	T8	Т9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	8	18	8	2						
2	Communication Skill	10	Co	10.	10						
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	O	10						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	100	10	10						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10			,			

10

10

10

10

10

98

0

10

10

10

10

918

10

10

01

10 98 100

10

10

10

10 10

tø

**TOTAL** 

Date: 20/03/2023

7

8

9

10

Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts,

power point presentation etc. Accessibility of the teacher in and

The evaluation process is fair and

Time Management in the completion of

out of the class

unbiased

syllabus

Overall rating

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

### ACADEMIC YEAR 20& - 20 ർ 3

Semester/Year: []]

Dlaga	a material C. H. A										
rieas	te rate on the following attributes using th	e 10 -	point	scale	shov	vn ,	0				
		3	1	1	2						
	Very Good Good Satisfact	OFV		Below	thresho	Id					
Name	e of the Teachers:										
	TI S. Mary Pelset T3 Dr. S. Porni	T2	ku	isfi	20	Du	zša	dl	cR		
	T3 Dr. S. Porni	T4	.Be	elf	. Oji	życ	иј				
	T5								* 		
	T7	Т8									
		T1/	0		,						
	Т9	T10	0								1 12
S. No.		T10	T2	Т3	T4	Т5	Т6	Т7	Т8	Т9	T10
Property and the	T9							and the same		Т9	T10
No.	T9	T1	T2	Т3				and the same		Т9	T10
<b>No.</b>	Attributes  Knowledge base of the teacher	TI S	T2	T3	T4			and the same		Т9	T10
No. 1 2	Attributes  Knowledge base of the teacher  Communication Skill	T1 왕 구	T2 9 8	T3 6 5	T4 7 7			and the same		Т9	T10
No. 1 2 3	Attributes  Knowledge base of the teacher  Communication Skill  Commitment of the teacher	T1 8 7 10	72 9 8	T3 & 5 5	T4 7 7 6			and the same		Т9	T10
No. 1 2 3 4	Attributes  Knowledge base of the teacher  Communication Skill  Commitment of the teacher  Interest generated by the teacher  The teacher come well prepared for the	T1 8 7 10 7	T2 9 8	T3 6 5 5 4	T4 7 7 6 6			and the same		Т9	T10

0/

5

B

7

6

10

7

øj

TOTAL 23

Student Signature

Date: 26-03-2023

out of the class

unbiased

syllabus

Overall rating

The evaluation process is fair and

Time Management in the completion of

8

9

10

Department: Excialwork

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

**ACADEMIC YEAR 20% - 20%** 3

Depa	artment: Socialwork				S	emes	ster/Y	ear:	111		
Please	e rate on the following attributes using the	5			2		0				
	To the Teachers:  To Mary Delset  To Seekho vijayan  To To	T2 T4 T6 T8	k		jha.	Pr					
S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	<b>T4</b>	T5	Т6	<b>T7</b>	Т8	Т9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10							
2	Communication Skill			0							

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	<b>T3</b> .	T4	<b>T5</b>	Т6	<b>T7</b>	Т8	Т9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10					7		1
2	Communication Skill	10	10	9							2
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	199	q							
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	49	q							
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	9							
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	10					,		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10							
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10							
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	q	10	10							
10	Overall rating	9	10	8						7 * 2	
	TOTAL	94	98	94					8		

Date: 20 3 23

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Depa	artment: Socialwork				S	Seme	ster/	Year	IV		
Please	e rate on the following attributes using the	e 10 -	point	scale	show	vn L	0				
							7				
Nomo	Very Good Good Satisfact of the Teachers:	огу		Below t	hresho	ld					
<u>INAIIIC</u>	TI & Mary Delseil  T3 Seether Ocjayan	T2	k	zis	yna	J.	na	ያወር	J.k	R	
,	13 Seekho Dijayan	T4			<i>,</i> 						
,	Т5	T6				. <b>.</b>					
,	Г7	T8									
,	Г9	T10	)							1	-
S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	Т3	T4	<b>T5</b>	Т6	<b>T7</b>	Т8	Т9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10						,	100
2	Communication Skill	9	10	0					$\checkmark$		<u>k</u>
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	9	9				$\checkmark$			
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	9	9						iq.	~
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	9	10							$\checkmark$
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	10	9	,						· <
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	9	9						~	
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	9				1			
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	9							
10	Overall rating	0)	10	10							
	TOTAL	85	85	83					· v		

Date: 20 3/2023

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

Depai	ACADEMIC Y	/EAR	200	<u>1</u> 2 - 2	<b>20</b> 🎝	3 Semes	ster/\	ear:	NV.		
	rate on the following attributes using the	5		scale  3  Below the	2		0				
,	of the Teachers: T16. Mary Peksit T3 & peckly. No fay arn T5 T7	T6 T8						ed.		) }	
S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	Т3	<b>T</b> 4	T5	Т6	<b>T7</b>	T8	Т9	]
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	lΩ	10	(0							
2	Communication Skill	10	८०	(0							-
3	Commitment of the teacher	lo	01	0)							+
		1	1 1 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	<b>T</b> 4	T5	Т6	T7	Т8	Т9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	מן	10	(0							
2	Communication Skill	0	०)	(0)							
3	Commitment of the teacher	lo	01	0)							a .
4	Interest generated by the teacher	Ю	10	9							
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	lo	10	10							
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	90	(0)							
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	B	po	9							
8	The evaluation process is fair and	[5	10	10							
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	10	0 )							
10	Overall rating	10	9	D					1		
= -	TOTAL	99	99	98				n 1			

Date: 20 3 23

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

	ACADEMIC	YEA	R 20	) 99-	200	03							
Department: Social words					Semester/Year: 1								
Please	e rate on the following attributes using th	e 10	-poin	t scale	e shov	vn							
	Very Good Good Satisfact  e of the Teachers:  T1 S. Mary Pelset  T3 Di. B. Ponni  T5	T2 T4 T6	ka G	ishi ceth	00	Dru Veja							
	T9												
S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	Т3	T4	T5	Т6	<b>T7</b>	T8	Т9	T10		
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9.	Q	Q	9								
2	Communication Skill	9	8	8	8								
3	Commitment of the teacher	9	8	4	8								
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	a	8	9								
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10					-			
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	Lo	w	Q	w								
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	9	9	9								
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	69	10	q	9		,						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	lo	10	lo		-		,	3 1			
10	Overall rating												
	TOTAL	25	Q2	Q1	92								

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2020 - 20∂**3

· Culdiwate				Semester/Year: III									
Please	e rate on the following attributes using th	e 10 -	point	scale	shov	vn							
	Very Good Good Satisfact	3	1	3	2		0						
Name of the Teachers:  T1 S. Mary Pelset  T2 kristpa Provad kR  T3 Dr. 8 Ponni  T4 Seetty Vijayar													
	To the total of the test to th												
	T7	T8					•••••		,				
	T9	T10	0		•••••	••••							
S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	<b>T5</b>	<b>T6</b>	<b>T</b> 7	T8	T9	T10		
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	a	C	6	Ø								
2	Communication Skill	à	2	1	9								
3	Commitment of the teacher	a	a	Ø	9								
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	6		7								
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	2	7	q								
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	a	F	7	9								
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	7	9	g	9								
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	9	9								
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	7	8	8	7								

TOTAL 85

Date: 20/03/2023

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023** 

Depa	urtment: Social work		Semester/Year: 1									
Please	e rate on the following attributes using the	ne 10	-poin	t scal	e sho	wn	0					
Name	Very Good Good Satisfact of the Teachers:	tory		Below	thresh	blo						
		T2	k	ris	f.na.	.J.	ra.	sat	lk	R.		
	TI S. Mary Pelset T2 kristna Prasad k.R. T3 Seetty vijayan T4											
	T5											
,	Г7	Т8										
	Г9											
S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	Т3	T4	T5	<b>T6</b>	T7	Т8	Т9	T10	
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	8	10	7								
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10								
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	10	10								
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	la	10								
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	16								
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts,			14						-		

6

10

10

8

10

94

**TOTAL** 

Ø

Ø

10

10 98 10

10

810

8

10

95

Date: 20/3/2023

power point presentation etc. Accessibility of the teacher in and

The evaluation process is fair and

Time Management in the completion of

out of the class

unbiased

syllabus

Overall rating

6

7

8

9

10

## NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

	ACADEMIC	YEA	R 20	022	- 20	23						
Dep	Department of the Nonk Semester/Year: VIII											
Pleas	se rate on the following attributes using t	he 10	-poin						_			
				3	!		Q					
Name	Very Good Good Satisfa	ctory		Below	thresh	old						
	Ti b. Mary Polet T2 Kolshnajorgsad & R. T3 Dr. J. Donni T4 Spethy Vijayan.											
T3 Dr. S. Dolari T4 Soethy Vilavan.												
	T5	Т6	<i>V</i>									
	T7	Т8										
	T9	T10	0					.,				
S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	Т3	T4	T5	Т6	T7	Т8	Т9	T10	
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	5	10							
2	Communication Skill	10	10	9	10							
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	9	10							
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	9	, 0							
	Tl	1			1							

		10	10	12	1 10	1			
2	Communication Skill	10	10	9	10				
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	9	10				
4	Interest generated by the teacher	In	10	9	, 0				
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	9	10				
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	9	10				
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	8	10				
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	7	9				
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	6	8				
10	Overall rating	/ b	טי	5	6		÷.		
	TOTAL	100	(00	70	93			7 1	
		-							

Date: 20/03/2023

nt Signature

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

### **ACADEMIC YEAR 20∂ 20∂ 3**

Depa	rtment: Socialwork	Semester/Year: N												
Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown														
Very Good Good Satisfactory Below threshold														
Name	of the Teachers:  11 . S. Mary Delsek	T2 Kristina Prasad KR												
	13 Deethon Dejayari	T4												
•	Γ5	T6		•••••										
•	Τ7	T8												
-	Г9	T10												
S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	Т3	<b>T4</b>	<b>T5</b>	Т6	<b>T7</b>	Т8	Т9	T10			
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	8	9							~			
2	Communication Skill	8	10	10							~			
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10							V			
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10							V			
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	lo	7	10										
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	10							ž.			
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10										
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10										
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10					,					
10	Overall rating	10	10	10										
	TOTAL	98	95	99						4				

Date: 20 63 2003

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


#### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


#### **ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023**

Department: Social work

Semester/Year: 2


Name of the Teachers: Mrs. S.Mary Pelsit





Name of the Teachers: Ms. Seethu Vijayan

Semester/Year: 2



Teacher's feedback forms were collected from the II MSW students to evaluate the teacher's performance of the quality outcome. this, Knowledge, In Communication, Commitment, Interest generated, ICT, teaching pedagogy, accessibility, results were evaluated for each faculty. All the students were highly satisfied with the overall teaching methodology.

Department of Social Work Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu.

Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science

Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

## NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE, KALIKYAVILAI DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL WORK

### ACTION TAKEN REPORT BASED ON STUDENT FEEDBACKON TEACHERS (2022-2023)

The students given a perfect feedback on teachers in department of social worker based on certain criteria such as knowledge base of teachers, communication skill, commitment of teachers, interest generated by teachers, use of modern techniques, accessibility of teachers, time management and evaluation process of teachers. By analysing, it is clear that all the students are satisfied with the teaching pattern of the teachers and based on the feedback department of social work planned to organise practical base theory class to the students.

Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science

Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

vanjil Catholic Cellege of Arts & อัติษัทติ Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu.